

COINVARIANTS FOR MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF CYCLIC GROUPS OF PRIME ORDER

MÜFIT SEZER AND R. JAMES SHANK

ABSTRACT. We consider the ring of coinvariants for modular representations of cyclic groups of prime order. For all cases for which explicit generators for the ring of invariants are known, we give a reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal and the corresponding monomial basis for the coinvariants. We also describe the decomposition of the coinvariants as a module over the group ring. For one family of representations, we are able to describe the coinvariants despite the fact that an explicit generating set for the invariants is not known. In all cases our results confirm the conjecture of Harm Derksen and Gregor Kemper on degree bounds for generators of the Hilbert ideal. As an incidental result, we identify the coefficients of the monomials appearing in the orbit product of a terminal variable for the three dimensional indecomposable representation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let V denote a finite dimensional representation of a finite group G over a field \mathbf{F} . If the characteristic of \mathbf{F} divides the order of G , then V is called a *modular* representation. Choose a basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ for the dual vector space V^* . The action of G on V induces an action on V^* which extends to an action by algebra automorphisms on the symmetric algebra $\mathbf{F}[V] := S(V^*) = \mathbf{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. The ring of *invariants*,

$$\mathbf{F}[V]^G := \{f \in \mathbf{F}[V] \mid g(f) = f, \forall g \in G\},$$

is a finitely generated subring of $\mathbf{F}[V]$. The *Noether number*, $\beta(V)$, is defined to be the least integer d such that $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree less than or equal to d . The *Hilbert ideal*, which we denote by \mathcal{H} , is the ideal in $\mathbf{F}[V]$ generated by the homogeneous invariants of positive degree and the ring of *coinvariants* is the quotient

$$\mathbf{F}[V]_G := \mathbf{F}[V]/\mathcal{H}.$$

Since the Hilbert ideal is closed under the group action, the coinvariants are a module over the group ring $\mathbf{F}G$. Furthermore, since G is finite, $\mathbf{F}[V]$ and $\mathbf{F}[V]^G$ have the same Krull dimension. Therefore $\mathbf{F}[V]_G$ is a finite dimensional graded \mathbf{F} -algebra. Let $\text{td}(\mathbf{F}[V]_G)$ denote the *top degree* of $\mathbf{F}[V]_G$, i.e., the largest degree in which $\mathbf{F}[V]_G$ is non-zero. The ring of coinvariants has been studied extensively for \mathbf{F} a field of characteristic zero, particularly for V a reflection representation.

Date: February 1, 2008.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A50.

Research supported by a grant from EPSRC.

For reflection representations in characteristic zero, the coinvariants are isomorphic, as a module over the group ring, to the regular representation (see, for example, [8], [4, Ch. V, §5.2] or [15, Ch. VII, §24-1]). Coinvariants in characteristic zero continue to attract attention (see, for example, [11], [12] and [13]). Relatively little is known about coinvariants for modular representations. The coinvariants for the natural modular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and its p -Sylow subgroup were considered by Campbell et al. in [7]. Larry Smith has investigated modular coinvariants for two and three dimensional representations [23] and in the case that the invariants are a polynomial algebra ([24], [25]). In this paper we consider the coinvariants for the simplest modular representations, the modular representations of cyclic groups of prime order.

For the remainder of the paper, let p denote a prime number, let \mathbf{Z}/p denote the cyclic group of order p and let \mathbf{F} denote a field of characteristic p . A representation of a cyclic group is determined by the Jordan canonical form of the image of the generator. If $n \leq p$ then the $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbf{F} consisting of a single Jordan block with eigenvalue 1, has order p and determines an indecomposable representation of \mathbf{Z}/p which we denote by V_n (For $n > p$, the order of the matrix is greater than p). Note that there are no non-trivial p^{th} roots of unity in \mathbf{F} . Thus 1 is the only eigenvalue for the image of a generator of \mathbf{Z}/p under a representation over \mathbf{F} . Therefore, up to isomorphism, the only indecomposable $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -modules are V_1, V_2, \dots, V_p . We will denote the direct sum of m copies of V_n by mV_n .

Despite the simplicity of the representation theory, computing explicit generators for $\mathbf{F}[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is a relatively difficult problem. Minimal generating sets for $\mathbf{F}[V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ and $\mathbf{F}[V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ can be found in Dickson's Madison Colloquium [10]. Finite SAGBI bases¹ for $\mathbf{F}[V_4]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ and $\mathbf{F}[V_5]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ can be found in [19]. The problem of finding an explicit generating set for $\mathbf{F}[V_n]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ for $n > 5$ remains open. Even when the invariants of the indecomposable summands are understood, it can be difficult to construct generating sets for decomposable representations. Campbell & Hughes, in [6], describe a generating set for $\mathbf{F}[mV_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ which is refined to a minimal generating set in [21]. SAGBI bases are given for $\mathbf{F}[V_2 \oplus V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ in [20] and $\mathbf{F}[2V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ in [5]. We refer to an $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -module as *reduced* if it is a direct sum of non-trivial modules. In summary, the only reduced representations for which explicit generating sets for the ring of invariants are known are: mV_2 , $V_2 \oplus V_3$, V_3 , $2V_3$, V_4 , V_5 . For each of these representations we will give a reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal and describe the corresponding monomial basis for the coinvariants. We will also use the monomial basis to describe the $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -module structure of the coinvariants. By relating $mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3$ to $(m + \ell)V_2$, we are able to describe $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ despite the fact that an explicit generating set is not known for $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. Our results give $(m + \ell)(p - 1) + 1$ as an upper bound on the degrees of a minimal generating set for $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. Harm Derksen and Gregor Kemper have conjectured that the order of the group is an upper bound on the degrees of a minimal homogeneous generating set for the Hilbert ideal [9, 3.8.6 (b)]. For all of the examples considered here, our calculations confirm this conjecture. We note that $\mathbf{F}[2V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ was considered in [23].

¹A SAGBI basis is a particularly nice generating set.

Let σ denote a generator of \mathbf{Z}/p . In the group ring \mathbf{FZ}/p , define $\Delta := \sigma - 1$ and $\text{Tr} := \sum_{i=1}^p \sigma^i$. The kernel of Δ acting on a module gives the invariant elements in the module and Tr gives a homomorphism of $\mathbf{F}[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ – modules from $\mathbf{F}[V]$ to $\mathbf{F}[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ known as the *transfer*. The image of the transfer is an ideal in the ring of invariants. Observe that a basis for the coinvariants lifts to a set of generators for $\mathbf{F}[V]$ as a module over $\mathbf{F}[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. Applying the transfer to a set of module generators gives a generating set for the image of the transfer as an ideal. Thus a basis for the coinvariants gives a generating set for the image of the transfer and the largest degree of a basis element gives an upper bound on the degrees of a generating set for the image of the transfer. It is a consequence of [20, 4.2 & 6.3] and [19, 4.1] that for $n > 3$, $\text{td}(\mathbf{F}[V_n]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}) \geq \beta(V_n) \geq 2p - 3$. The results in this paper support the following strengthening of [20, Conjecture 6.1].

Conjecture 1.1. *For $n > 3$, $\text{td}(\mathbf{F}[V_n]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}) = 2p - 3$.*

For an element $\varphi \in V^*$, define the *norm* of φ to be the product over the orbit of φ . Thus, if $\varphi \in V^* \setminus (V^*)^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$, $N(\varphi) := \prod_{i=1}^p \sigma^i(\varphi)$. If we choose a basis $\{X, Y, Z\}$ for V_3^* so that $\Delta(Z) = Y$, $\Delta(Y) = X$ and $\Delta(X) = 0$, then $\mathbf{F}[V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is the hypersurface generated by X , $Y^2 - X(Y + 2Z)$, $N(Y)$ and $N(Z)$. It is well known that $N(Y) = Y^p - YX^{p-1}$. However, the expansion of $N(Z)$ is far more complicated and, to our knowledge, does not appear in the literature. Knowledge of certain coefficients in the expansion was necessary for some of our calculations. In Section 2, we have worked out a complete description of the expansion.

We adopt the convention of using upper case letters to denote variables in $\mathbf{F}[V]$ and the corresponding lower case letters to denote the images of the variables in $\mathbf{F}[V]_G$. We use the term *monomial* to mean a product of variables. For an ideal I , we write $f \equiv_I h$ if $f - h \in I$. As a general reference for the invariant theory of finite groups see Benson [2], Derksen & Kemper [9], Neusel & Smith [16] or Smith [22]. As a reference for Gröbner bases we recommend Adams & Loustaunau [1] or Sturmfels [26].

2. THE EXPANSION OF $N(Z)$

In this section we describe the expansion of the norm of an \mathbf{FZ}/p – module generator of V_3^* . Choose a basis $\{X, Y, Z\}$ for V_3^* with $\Delta(Z) = Y$, $\Delta(Y) = X$ and $\Delta(X) = 0$. Write $N(Z) = A_0 + A_1X + \cdots + A_pX^p$ with each $A_i \in \mathbf{F}[Y, Z]$.

Theorem 2.1. $A_0 = Z^p - ZY^{p-1}$, $A_p = A_{p-1} = 0$ and

$$A_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} \xi_{ik} Z^k Y^{p-i-k} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{p-i} \xi_{ik} Z^k Y^{p-i-k} & \text{for } \frac{p+1}{2} \leq i \leq p-2, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } \xi_{ik} = \frac{(-1)^i}{2^i(p-k)} \binom{p-2k+1}{i-k+1} \binom{p-k}{k-1}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 follows Lemma 2.8. We start with a number of combinatorial lemmas concerning \mathbf{F}_p , the field with p elements. The first lemma is well known.

Lemma 2.2. *For a positive integer ℓ ,*

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} t^\ell = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } p-1 \text{ divides } \ell; \\ 0 & \text{if } p-1 \text{ does not divide } \ell. \end{cases}$$

Proof. See, for example, [7, 9.4]. \square

Let S_i denote the set of subsets of \mathbf{F}_p of size i and, for $j \in \mathbf{F}_p$, let $S_{i,j}$ denote the set of subsets of \mathbf{F}_p of size i not containing j . For $\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{F}_p$, let $\sigma_k(\alpha)$ denote the k^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial in the elements of α . For convenience, we set $\sigma_0(\alpha) = 1$ and to simplify notation we will denote $\sigma_i(\alpha)$ by $\pi(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in S_i$. For $j \leq k$, define functions $b_{k,j} : \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p$ by

$$b_{k,j}(t) := \sum_{\alpha \in S_{k-1,t}} t\pi(\alpha)\sigma_j(\alpha \cup \{t\})$$

and set $d_{k,j} := \sum_{\alpha \in S_k} \pi(\alpha)\sigma_j(\alpha)$. Note that $d_{0,0} = 1$.

Lemma 2.3. (i) $\sum_{i \in \mathbf{F}_p} b_{k,j}(i) = kd_{k,j}$.
(ii) $d_{k,j} = b_{k,j}(t) + \sum_{\alpha \in S_{k,t}} \pi(\alpha)\sigma_j(\alpha)$.

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that each term of $d_{k,j}$ appears k times in $\sum_{i \in \mathbf{F}_p} b_{k,j}(i)$. The second statement follows from partitioning S_k into subsets with t and subsets without t . \square

Lemma 2.4. *For $1 \leq k < p$, $b_{k,0}(t) = (-1)^{k+1}t^k$ and*

$$d_{k,0} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < p-1; \\ -1 & \text{if } k = p-1. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore $b_{p,0}(t) = t^p - t$ and $d_{p,0} = 0$.

Proof. The value of $d_{k,0}$ follows from the description of $b_{k,0}(t)$ using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. We prove the given formula for $b_{k,0}(t)$ by induction on k . Since the product over the empty set is 1, we have $b_{1,0}(t) = t$. Using Lemma 2.3, we see that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in S_{k,t}} \pi(\alpha) = d_{k,0} - t \sum_{\alpha \in S_{k-1,t}} \pi(\alpha) = d_{k,0} - b_{k,0}(t).$$

Thus $b_{k+1,0}(t) = td_{k,0} - tb_{k,0}(t)$. For $k < p-1$, the induction hypothesis gives $d_{k,0} = 0$. Therefore $b_{k+1,0}(t) = -t((-1)^{k+1}t^k) = (-1)^{k+2}t^{k+1}$ as required. For $k = p-1$, $b_{p,0}(t) = td_{p-1,0} - tb_{p-1,0}(t) = -t - t((-1)^p t^{p-1}) = t^p - t$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *For $1 \leq k+j < p$, with $0 \leq j \leq k$, $b_{k,j}(t) = (-1)^{k+1} \binom{k}{j} t^{k+j}$ and*

$$d_{k,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k+j < p-1; \\ (-1)^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{1}{k} & \text{if } k+j = p-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The values of $d_{k,j}$ follow from description of $b_{k,j}(t)$ using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. We prove the formula for $b_{k,j}(t)$ by induction on $k+j$. For $j=0$ we have $b_{k,0}(t) = (-1)^{k+1}t^k$ by Lemma 2.4. Working directly from the definition, $b_{1,1}(t) = t^2$. Thus the formula holds for $k+j=1$ and $k+j=2$. Expanding the second factor of each term gives

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1,j}(t) &= \sum_{\alpha \in S_{k,t}} t\pi(\alpha)\sigma_j(\alpha \cup \{t\}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in S_{k,t}} t\pi(\alpha)t\sigma_{j-1}(\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha \in S_{k,t}} t\pi(\alpha)\sigma_j(\alpha) \\ &= t^2 \sum_{\alpha \in S_{k,t}} \pi(\alpha)\sigma_{j-1}(\alpha) + t \sum_{\alpha \in S_{k,t}} \pi(\alpha)\sigma_j(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

So by Lemma 2.3(ii), we have

$$b_{k+1,j}(t) = t^2(d_{k,j-1} - b_{k,j-1}(t)) + t(d_{k,j} - b_{k,j}(t)).$$

For $2 \leq k+j < p-1$, the induction hypothesis gives $d_{k,j-1} = d_{k,j} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1,j}(t) &= t^2(-1)^{k+2} \binom{k}{j-1} t^{k+j-1} + t(-1)^{k+2} \binom{k}{j} t^{k+j} \\ &= (-1)^{k+2} t^{k+j+1} \left(\binom{k}{j-1} + \binom{k}{j} \right) = (-1)^{k+2} t^{k+j+1} \binom{k+1}{j}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose $p-1 < k+j < 2p-2$. Then $b_{k,j}(t) = (-1)^{k+1} \binom{k}{j} t^{k+j} + f(t)$, where $f(t)$ is a polynomial of degree less or equal to $k+j-(p-1)$, and $d_{k,j} = 0$.*

Proof. The values of $d_{k,j}$ follow from the description of $b_{k,j}(t)$ using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. The proof of the formula for $b_{k,j}(t)$ is by induction on $k+j$. We use the recursive relation from the proof of the previous lemma,

$$b_{k+1,j}(t) = t^2(d_{k,j-1} - b_{k,j-1}(t)) + t(d_{k,j} - b_{k,j}(t)).$$

For $j+k=p$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,j}(t) &= t^2(-1)^{k+1} \binom{k-1}{j-1} t^{k+j-2} + t(-1)^{k-1} \left(\binom{k-1}{j} \frac{1}{k-1} + \binom{k-1}{j} t^{k+j-1} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \left(\binom{k-1}{j-1} t^{k+j} + \binom{k-1}{j} t^{k+j} + t \binom{k-1}{j} \frac{1}{k-1} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \binom{k}{j} t^{k+j} + t \binom{k-1}{j} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $j+k=p+1$, the recursive relation gives

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,j}(t) &= t^2(-1)^{k+1} \binom{k-1}{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{k-1} + t^{k+j-2} \right) + t(-1)^{k+1} \binom{k-1}{j} t^{k-1+j} \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \binom{k}{j} t^{j+k} + t^2 \binom{k-1}{j-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $p+1 < k+j < 2p-2$, the induction hypothesis gives $d_{k,j-1} = d_{k,j} = 0$. Therefore $b_{k+1,j}(t) = t^2((-1)^{k+2} \binom{k}{j-1} t^{k+j-1} + p(t)) + t((-1)^{k+2} \binom{k}{j} t^{k+j} + q(t))$,

where $p(t)$ is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $k + j - 1 - (p - 1)$ and $q(t)$ is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $k + j - (p - 1)$. Collecting terms gives $b_{k+1,j}(t) = (-1)^{k+2}t^{k+j+1}\binom{k+1}{j} + t^2p(t) + tq(t)$. Since $t^2p(t) + tq(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k + j + 1 - (p - 1)$, the result follows. \square

For a set $\gamma \subseteq \mathbf{F}_p$, let $S_{b,\gamma}$ denote the set of subsets of \mathbf{F}_p of size b that do not contain any element from the set γ . We note a counting lemma.

Lemma 2.7.

$$\sum_{\gamma \in S_c, \alpha \in S_{b,\gamma}} \sigma_j(\gamma)\pi(\gamma)\pi(\alpha) = \binom{b+c-j}{b} d_{b+c,j}.$$

Proof. Recall that $d_{b+c,j} = \sum_{\theta \in S_{b+c}} \pi(\theta)\sigma_j(\theta)$. Each term in $\pi(\theta)\sigma_j(\theta)$ is of the form $\pi(\tau)\pi(\theta)$ for τ a subset of θ of size j . The term $\pi(\tau)\pi(\theta)$ occurs $\binom{b+c-j}{b}$ times on the left hand side of the equation, once for each choice of $\alpha \in \theta \setminus \tau$. \square

Let $A_{b,c}$ denote the coefficient of $X^c Y^b Z^{p-c-b}$ in $N(Z)$.

Lemma 2.8. (i) Suppose $0 < c < p - 1$. If there exists an integer j satisfying $0 \leq j \leq c$ and $b + c + j = p - 1$, then

$$A_{b,c} = \frac{(-1)^{b+2c-j} \binom{b+c-j}{c-j} \binom{b+c}{j}}{2^c(b+c)};$$

otherwise $A_{b,c} = 0$.

(ii) $A_p = A_{p-1} = 0$.

(iii) $A_0 = Z^p - ZY^{p-1}$.

Proof. Recall that $\sigma^m(Z) = Z + mY + \binom{m}{2}X$. By identifying the terms in $\prod_{m \in \mathbf{F}_p} \sigma^m(Z)$ which contribute to the coefficient of $X^c Y^b Z^{p-c-b}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{b,c} &= \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_c\} \in S_c} \sum_{\{j_1, \dots, j_b\} \in S_{b, \{i_1, \dots, i_c\}}} \binom{i_1}{2} \binom{i_2}{2} \cdots \binom{i_c}{2} j_1 \cdots j_b \\ &= \frac{1}{2^c} \sum_{\gamma \in S_c, \alpha \in S_{b,\gamma}} \pi(\alpha) \prod_{i \in \gamma} (i^2 - i). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding gives

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \in \gamma} (i^2 - i) &= \sum_{\beta \subseteq \gamma} (-1)^{|\gamma \setminus \beta|} \pi(\beta) \pi(\gamma) \\ &= \pi(\gamma) \sum_{\ell=0}^c (-1)^{c-\ell} \sigma_\ell(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the previous expression gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_{b,c} &= \frac{1}{2^c} \sum_{\gamma \in S_c, \alpha \in S_{b,\gamma}} \pi(\alpha) \pi(\gamma) \sum_{\ell=0}^c (-1)^{c-\ell} \sigma_\ell(\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^c} \sum_{\ell=0}^c (-1)^{c-\ell} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in S_c, \alpha \in S_{b,\gamma}} \sigma_\ell(\gamma) \pi(\alpha) \pi(\gamma) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.7, gives

$$A_{b,c} = \frac{1}{2^c} \sum_{\ell=0}^c (-1)^{c-\ell} \binom{b+c-\ell}{b} d_{b+c,\ell}.$$

It follows from the definition of $d_{k,j}$ that $d_{p,j} = 0$. Thus if $b+c = p$, $A_{b,c} = 0$. Therefore we may assume $c \leq p-1$. Using Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.5, if $0 < c+b+j < 2p-2$ then $d_{b+c,j} = 0$ unless $b+c+j = p-1$. If $c = p-1$ and $b+c < p$, then $b = 0$. In this case the above summation gives $A_{0,p-1} = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}(d_{p-1,0} + d_{p-1,p-1})$. However, explicit calculation gives $d_{p-1,p-1} = 1$ and Lemma 2.4 gives $d_{p-1,0} = -1$. Thus $A_{0,p-1} = 0$. For $c = 0$, we have $A_{0,0} = 1$, $A_{p-1,0} = -1$ and all other $A_{b,0} = 0$. For $0 < c < p-1$, we have $0 < b+c+\ell < 2p-2$. Therefore, there is at most one non-zero term in the above summation. If there exists $j \leq c$ with $b+c+j = p-1$ then, using Lemma 2.5, there is non-zero term and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{b,c} &= \frac{(-1)^{c-j}}{2^c} \binom{b+c-j}{b} d_{b+c,j} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{c-j}}{2^c} \binom{b+c-j}{b} (-1)^{b+c} \binom{b+c}{j} \frac{1}{b+c} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{b+2c-j}}{2^c(b+c)} \binom{b+c-j}{b} \binom{b+c}{j} \end{aligned}$$

as required. If no solution exists, $A_{b,c} = 0$. \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, we need to identify A_c for $0 < c < p-1$. For $k = p-b-c$ and $A_{b,c} \neq 0$, we have $k = p-c-(p-1-c-j) = j+1$. Substituting $b = p-k-c$ and $j = k-1$ into the formula for $A_{b,c}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_{p-k-c,c} &= \frac{(-1)^{p-k+c-(k-1)}}{2^c(p-k)} \binom{p-k-(k-1)}{p-k-c} \binom{p-k}{k-1} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{p-2k+c-1}}{2^c(p-k)} \binom{p-2k+1}{c-k+1} = \xi_{k,c}. \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed c , the summation is from $k = 1$ to $k = c+1$ subject to the condition that $k+c \leq p$. For $c \leq (p-1)/2$, this condition imposes no restriction. For $c \geq (p+1)/2$, the summation terminates with $k = p-c$.

3. THE COINVARIANTS OF $mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3$

We start by describing the coinvariants of mV_2 . Choose a basis $\{X_i, Y_i \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$ for $(mV_2)^*$ with $\Delta(Y_i) = X_i$ and $\Delta(X_i) = 0$. We use the graded reverse lexicographic order with $X_i < Y_i < X_{i+1}$. For $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $i < j$, define $u_{ij} := X_j Y_i - X_i Y_j$. Campbell and Hughes [6] have shown that

$$\{X_i, N(Y_i), u_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, m; i < j\} \cup \{\text{Tr}(\beta) \mid \beta \text{ divides } (Y_1 \cdots Y_m)^{p-1}\}$$

is a generating set for $\mathbf{F}[mV_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. It is well known that $N(Y_i) = Y_i^p - Y_i X_i^{p-1}$. Furthermore, if β divides $(Y_1 \cdots Y_m)^{p-1}$, then $\Delta(\beta) \in (X_1, \dots, X_m) \mathbf{F}[mV_2]$. Thus $\text{Tr}(\beta) = \Delta^{p-1}(\beta) \in (X_1, \dots, X_m) \mathbf{F}[mV_2]$. As a consequence, we have the following.

Theorem 3.1. *A reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal of mV_2 is given by $\{X_i, Y_i^p \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$, the corresponding monomial basis for $\mathbf{F}[mV_2]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is given by the monomial factors of $(y_1 \cdots y_m)^{p-1}$, and $\mathbf{F}[mV_2]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is a trivial \mathbf{FZ}/p – module.*

For the rest of this section, we assume $p > 2$. The natural inclusion of $(m+\ell)V_2$ into $mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3$ induces an algebra epimorphism $\rho : \mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}[(m+\ell)V_2]$. We will use this map in conjunction with Theorem 3.1 to describe the coinvariants of $mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3$. Choose a basis

$$\{X_i, Y_i \mid i = 1, \dots, m\} \cup \{X_i, Y_i, Z_i \mid i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell\}$$

for $(mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3)^*$ with $\Delta(Z_i) = Y_i$, $\Delta(Y_i) = X_i$ and $\Delta(X_i) = 0$. We use the graded reverse lexicographic order with $X_i < Y_i < Z_i < X_{i+1}$. For $i = 1, \dots, m+\ell$ and $i < j$, define $u_{ij} := X_j Y_i - X_i Y_j$ and, for $i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell$ and $i < j$, define $d_i := Y_i^2 - X_i(Y_i + 2Z_i)$ and $w_{ij} := Z_i X_j - Y_i Y_j + X_i Z_j + X_i Y_j$. A straightforward calculation verifies that u_{ij} , d_i and w_{ij} are all elements of $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. Let I be the ideal in $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]$ generated by

$$\{X_i, N(Y_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, m\} \cup \{X_i, d_i, w_{ij}, N(Z_i) \mid i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell; i < j\}.$$

and define

$$\Lambda := \{X_i, Y_i^p \mid i = 1, \dots, m\} \cup \{X_i, Y_i Y_j, Z_i^p \mid i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell; i \leq j\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The set Λ is a reduced Gröbner basis for I .*

Proof. It follows from Section 2 that $N(Z_i) \equiv_{(X_i)} Z_i^p - Z_i Y_i^{p-1}$. Using this, along with the expansion of $N(Y_i)$ given above and the definition of d_i and w_{ij} , it is clear that Λ generates I . Since Λ is a set of monomials and a minimal generating set for I , it is a reduced Gröbner basis for I . \square

Lemma 3.3. *If β divides $(Y_1 \cdots Y_m Z_{m+1} \cdots Z_{m+\ell})^{p-1}$, then $\text{Tr}(\beta) \in I$.*

Proof. Write $\beta = Y^F Z^E$ where $Y^F := \prod_{i=1}^m Y_i^{f_i}$ with $F := (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \mathbf{Z}^m$ and $Z^E := \prod_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} Z_i^{e_i}$ with $E := (e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+\ell}) \in \mathbf{Z}^\ell$. Clearly $\Delta(Y_i) \equiv_I 0$. Therefore $\Delta(\beta) = Y^F \Delta(Z^E)$ and $\text{Tr}(\beta) = \Delta^{p-1}(\beta) = Y^F \text{Tr}(Z^E)$. Thus it is sufficient to show that $\text{Tr}(Z^E) \in I$. Recall that $\sigma^c(Z_i) = Z_i + cY_i + \binom{c}{2}X_i \equiv_I Z_i + cY_i$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(Z^E) &= \sum_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p} \sigma^c(Z^E) \\ &\equiv_I \sum_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p} \prod_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} (Z_i + cY_i)^{e_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that, for $i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell$, we have $Y_i^2 \in I$, gives

$$\text{Tr}(Z^E) \equiv_I \sum_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p} \prod_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} (Z_i^{e_i} + e_i c Y_i Z_i^{e_i-1}).$$

Furthermore, for $i = m + 1, \dots, m + \ell$ and $i < j$, we have $Y_i Y_j \in I$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(Z^E) &\equiv_I \sum_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p} \left(Z^E + c \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} e_i Y_i \frac{Z^E}{Z_i} \right) \\ &\equiv_I Z^E \left(\sum_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p} 1 \right) + \left(\sum_{c \in \mathbf{F}_p} c \right) \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} e_i Y_i \frac{Z^E}{Z_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.2, $\text{Tr}(Z^E) \equiv_I 0$, as required. \square

The algebra epimorphism $\rho : \mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}[(m + \ell)V_2]$, introduced above, is a morphism of $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -modules and is determined by $\rho(Z_i) = Y_i$, $\rho(Y_i) = X_i$ and $\rho(X_i) = 0$ for $i > m$ and by $\rho(Y_i) = Y_i$ and $\rho(X_i) = X_i$ for $i \leq m$. The kernel of ρ is generated by $\{X_i \mid i = m + 1, \dots, m + \ell\}$ and is contained in I . Since ρ is surjective, the image of I under ρ is an ideal in $\mathbf{F}[(m + \ell)V_2]$. Intersecting this ideal with the ring of invariants gives the ideal $J := \rho(I) \cap \mathbf{F}[(m + \ell)V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$.

Lemma 3.4. *The natural projection from $\mathbf{F}[(m + \ell)V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ to $\mathbf{F}[(m + \ell)V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}/J$ induces an epimorphism of vector spaces from*

$$\text{Span}(\{X_i \mid i = m + 1, \dots, m + \ell\} \cup \{u_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, m + \ell; i < j \text{ and } m < j\})$$

to $\mathbf{F}[(m + \ell)V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}/J$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{F}[(m + \ell)V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is generated by

$$\{X_i, N(Y_i), u_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, m + \ell; i < j\} \cup \{\text{Tr}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \text{ divides } (Y_1 \cdots Y_{m+\ell})^{p-1}\}.$$

For each monomial α dividing $(Y_1 \cdots Y_{m+\ell})^{p-1}$, there exists a monomial β dividing $(Y_1 \cdots Y_m Z_{m+1} \cdots Z_{m+\ell})^{p-1}$ with $\rho(\beta) = \alpha$. By Lemma 3.3, $\text{Tr}(\beta) \in I$. Therefore $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \rho(\text{Tr}(\beta)) \in J$. For $i \leq m$, $\rho(Y_i) = Y_i$. Thus $N(Y_i) = \rho(N(Y_i)) \in J$. For $i > m$, $\rho(Z_i) = Y_i$ giving $N(Y_i) = \rho(N(Z_i)) \in J$. For $i \leq m$, $X_i = \rho(X_i) \in J$. For $i > m$, $X_i^2 = \rho(d_i) \in J$ and $X_i X_j = -\rho(w_{ij}) \in J$. For $i < j \leq m$, $u_{ij} = \rho(u_{ij}) \in J$. We have shown that, for all i and j , X_i^2 and $X_i X_j$ lie in $\rho(I)$. Therefore $u_{ij} u_{rs} = X_j X_s Y_i Y_r - X_i X_s Y_j Y_r - X_j X_r Y_i Y_s + X_i X_r Y_j Y_s$ and $X_i u_{rs} = X_i X_s Y_r - X_i X_r Y_s$ lie in $\rho(I)$. Since these elements are invariant, they lie in J . \square

Theorem 3.5. *The ideal I coincides with the Hilbert ideal of $mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3$.*

Proof. By definition, $I \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Thus it is sufficient to show that every invariant lies in I . Suppose that f is a homogeneous element of $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ with $\deg(f) > 2$. Then using Lemma 3.4, $\rho(f) \in J \subseteq \rho(I)$. Thus there exist $\tilde{f} \in I$ with $\rho(\tilde{f}) = \rho(f)$. Therefore $\tilde{f} - f \in \ker(\rho) \subseteq I$. Thus $f \in I$ as required.

Every homogeneous invariant of degree 1 is a linear combination of the X_i and hence lies in I . Therefore we need only verify that all homogeneous invariants of degree 2 lie in I . To do this we grade $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]$ over $\mathbf{Z}^{m+\ell} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m+\ell} b_i \mathbf{Z}$ by defining the multidegree of X_i , Y_i and Z_i to be b_i . The group action preserves multidegree. Therefore we may restrict to invariants which are homogeneous with respect to multidegree. Since the total degree is 2, the possible multidegrees are $2b_i$ and $b_i + b_j$. For multidegree $2b_i$, we use the descriptions of $\mathbf{F}[V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ and

$\mathbf{F}[V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ from [10]. For multidegree $b_i + b_j$, we use the description of $\mathbf{F}[2V_2]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ from [6], the description of $\mathbf{F}[2V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ from [5] and the description of $\mathbf{F}[V_2 \oplus V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ from [20]. In all cases, the only generators in degrees less than or equal to 2 are X_i , d_i , u_{ij} and w_{ij} . All of these invariants appear in I . \square

Corollary 3.6. *A reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal of $mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3$ is given by*

$$\{X_i, Y_i^p \mid i = 1, \dots, m\} \cup \{X_i, Y_i Y_j, Z_i^p \mid i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell; i \leq j\},$$

the corresponding monomial basis for $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is given by the monomial factors of $y_j(y_1 \cdots y_m z_{m+1} \cdots z_{m+\ell})^{p-1}$ for $j = m+1, \dots, m+\ell$, and the Hilbert series of $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is $(\ell t + 1)(1 + t + \cdots + t^{p-1})^{m+\ell}$. Furthermore, both as \mathbf{F} -algebras and $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -modules, $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p} \cong \mathbf{F}[mV_2]_{\mathbf{Z}/p} \otimes \mathbf{F}[\ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$.

Remark 3.7. *We have shown that the Hilbert ideal of $mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree less than or equal to p , the order of the group, confirming the conjecture of Derksen & Kemper [9, 3.8.6(b)] in this case. Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.1 confirm the conjecture for V_4 and V_5 respectively.*

Corollary 3.8. *If $m + \ell > 2$, then*

$$(m + \ell)(p - 1) \leq \beta(mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3) \leq (m + \ell)(p - 1) + 1.$$

Proof. From [20, 4.2], we know that the Noether number of a representation is greater than or equal to the Noether number of a subrepresentation. Thus $\beta((m + \ell)V_2) \leq \beta(mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3)$. From [6] or [17], for $m + \ell > 2$, the Noether number of $(m + \ell)V_2$ is $(m + \ell)(p - 1)$. This gives the first inequality. The second inequality follows from [14, 2.12] using the fact that $\text{td}(\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}) = (m + \ell)(p - 1) + 1$ is an upper bound on the degrees of the generators of the image of the transfer. \square

Remark 3.9. *The generating sets for $\mathbf{F}[V_2 \oplus V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ and $\mathbf{F}[2V_3]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ in [20] and [5] respectively, include elements of degree $2(p - 1) + 1$. However, these generating sets are not proven to be minimal. MAGMA [3] calculations for the primes 3, 5 and 7 do give $2(p - 1) + 1$ as the Noether number for these representations. Further MAGMA calculations show that $2V_2 \oplus V_3$, $V_2 \oplus 2V_3$ and $3V_3$ at $p = 3$, all have Noether number 7.*

In order to describe the $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -module structure of $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$, we use the grading introduced in the proof of Theorem 3.5. Since \mathcal{H} is generated by elements which are homogeneous with respect to multidegree, the grading on $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]$ induces a grading on $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. The group action preserves the multidegree. Therefore the homogeneous components give an $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -module decomposition. Furthermore, since $\mathbf{F}[mV_2 \oplus \ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p} \cong \mathbf{F}[mV_2]_{\mathbf{Z}/p} \otimes \mathbf{F}[\ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ and $\mathbf{F}[mV_2]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is a trivial $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -module, it is sufficient to describe the module structure of $\mathbf{F}[\ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. Using the notation from the proof of Lemma 3.3 we can describe the basis elements for $\mathbf{F}[\ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ as $y_j^\varepsilon z^E$ where $j > m$, $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ and $E = (e_1, \dots, e_\ell) \in \mathbf{Z}^\ell$ with $0 \leq e_i \leq p - 1$. It is clear that $\Delta(y_j z^E) = 0$ and

$$\Delta(z^E) = \sum_{j \in \{m+i \mid e_i \neq 0\}} y_j \frac{z^E}{z_j}.$$

Sorting the basis elements into their multidegree components gives the following.

Theorem 3.10. *In top degree, $\ell(p-1)+1$, the ℓ multidegree components of $\mathbf{F}[\ell V_3]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ are one dimensional with each component given by $y_j z^{(p-1, p-1, \dots, p-1)} \mathbf{F}$. For total degree greater than zero and less than $\ell(p-1)+1$, each multidegree component is given by the span of $\{z^E, y_j z^E / z_j \mid e_{j-m} \neq 0\}$ and is isomorphic to $V_2 \oplus (k-1)V_1$ where k is the number of non-zero entries in E .*

4. THE COINVARIANTS OF V_4

In this section we use the generating set for $\mathbf{F}[V_4]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ given in [19] to construct a reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal. Choose a basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ for V_4^* with $\Delta(X_i) = X_{i-1}$ for $i > 1$ and $\Delta(X_1) = 0$. We use the graded reverse lexicographic order with $X_1 < X_2 < X_3 < X_4$. We start with a useful lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $\beta = X_2^i X_3^j$. Further suppose that α is a monomial with $\alpha < \beta$ and $\deg(\alpha) = \deg(\beta)$. Then α lies in the ideal generated by $\{X_1, X_2^{i+1}\}$.*

Proof. When comparing α and β using the graded reverse lexicographic order, we first compare the exponents of X_1 and then, if necessary, the exponents of X_2 . \square

Theorem 4.2. *A reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal of V_4 is given by $\{X_1, X_2^2, X_2 X_3^{p-3}, X_3^{p-1}, X_4^p\}$, the corresponding monomial basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is given by the monomial factors of $x_3^{p-2} x_4^{p-1}$ and $x_2 x_3^{p-4} x_4^{p-1}$, and the Hilbert series of $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is given by $(1 + 2(t + t^2 + \dots + t^{p-3}) + t^{p-2})(1 + t + \dots + t^{p-1})$.*

Proof. By [19, 4.1], the ring of invariants is generated by $X_1, X_2^2 - X_1(X_2 + 2X_3), X_3^3 + X_1^2(3X_4 - X_2) - 3X_1X_2X_3, g = X_2^2X_3^2 + \dots, N(X_4)$ and the following families:

- (i) $\text{Tr}(X_3^i X_4^{p-1})$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-2$,
- (ii) $\text{Tr}(X_3^i X_4^{p-2})$ for $3 \leq i \leq p-2$,
- (iii) $\text{Tr}(X_4^j)$ for $q \leq j \leq p-2$,
- (iv) $\text{Tr}(X_3^2 X_4^j)$ for $2l-1 \leq j \leq p-2$.

where $l = \frac{p-1}{3}$, $q = 2l+1$ if $p \equiv 1$ modulo 3 and $l = \frac{p+1}{3}$, $q = 2l-1$ if $p \equiv -1$ modulo 3. In the following, we will determine the contribution of each generator to the reduced Gröbner basis. We first note that the ideal generated by $X_1, X_2^2 - X_1(X_2 + 2X_3), X_3^3 + X_1^2(3X_4 - X_2) - 3X_1X_2X_3$ has reduced Gröbner basis $\{X_1, X_2^2\}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.1, all of the monomials appearing in g lie in the ideal (X_1, X_2^2) .

The leading monomials of the elements in the transfer families above were computed in [19]. Using these results, we compute the contributions to the reduced the Gröbner basis of the second, third and fourth families.

For the third family, using [19, 3.2], the leading monomials are $\text{LM}(\text{Tr}(X_4^j)) = X_2^{p-1-j} X_3^{2j-p+1}$ for $q \leq j \leq p-2$. For $j < p-2$, the leading monomial is divisible by X_2^2 . For $j = p-2$, the leading monomial is $X_2 X_3^{p-3}$. Using Lemma 4.1 all “non-leading” monomials are in the ideal (X_1, X_2^2) . Therefore the third family contributes $X_2 X_3^{p-3}$ to the reduced Gröbner basis.

For the second family of transfers, by [19, 3.4] we have $\text{LM}(\text{Tr}(X_3^i X_4^{p-2})) = X_2 X_3^{i+p-3}$ for $3 \leq i \leq p-2$. Thus each leading monomial is divisible by $X_2 X_3^{p-3}$ and, using Lemma 4.1, the non-leading monomials lie in (X_1, X_2^2) . Thus the second family does not contribute to the reduced Gröbner basis.

For the fourth family, by [19, 3.5], we have $\text{LM}(\text{Tr}(X_3^2 X_4^j)) = X_2^{p-1-j} X_3^{2j-p+3}$ for $2l-1 \leq j \leq p-2$. For $j < p-2$, the leading monomial is divisible by X_2^2 . For $j = p-2$ the leading monomial is divisible by $X_2 X_3^{p-3}$. Again using Lemma 4.1, all of the non-leading monomials lie in (X_1, X_2^2) . Therefore the fourth family does not contribute to the reduced Gröbner basis.

For the first family, by [19, 3.3] and [19, 3.2], we have $\text{LM}(\text{Tr}(X_3^i X_4^{p-1})) = X_3^{i+p-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-2$. Thus the leading monomials are all divisible by X_3^{p-1} . We claim that the non-leading monomials appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_3^i X_4^{p-1})$ all lie in $(X_1, X_2^2, X_2 X_3^{p-3})$. Therefore, proving the claim will show that the first family contributes X_3^{p-1} to the reduced Gröbner basis. To prove the claim, we first observe that

$$\sigma^j(X_3^i X_4^{p-1}) = \left(X_3 + jX_2 + \binom{j}{2} X_1 \right)^i \left(X_4 + jX_3 + \binom{j}{2} X_2 + \binom{j}{3} X_1 \right)^{p-1}.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, the only term not divisible by X_1 or X_2 which “survives” the summation is $j^{p-1} X_3^{p+i-1}$. Clearly terms divisible by X_1 or X_2^2 lie in the ideal $(X_1, X_2^2, X_2 X_3^{p-3})$. Thus we may restrict our attention to monomials of the form $X_2 X_3^{p-2+i-a} X_4^a$. If $p-2+i-a \geq p-3$, this monomial lies in $(X_1, X_2^2, X_2 X_3^{p-3})$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that if $a > i+1$, the term with monomial $X_2 X_3^{p-2+i-a} X_4^a$ does not survive the summation. The coefficient of $X_2 X_3^{p-2+i-a} X_4^a$ in $\sigma^j(X_4^{p-1})$ is $(p-1)j^{p-2-a} \binom{j}{2} \binom{p-2}{a} + ij^{p-a} \binom{p-1}{a}$. This coefficient has degree $p-a$ as a polynomial in j . Since $i+1 < a$, we have $p-a < p-(i+1) = (p-1)-i$. Therefore $p-a < p-1$ and, by Lemma 2.2, the term does not survive the summation, proving the claim.

The only remaining invariant is $N(X_4)$. Working modulo (X_1) , the variable X_4 generates an $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -module isomorphic to V_3 . Thus we may use the results of Section 2. Write $N(X_4) \equiv_{(X_1, X_2^2)} A_0 + A_1 X_2$ for $A_0, A_1 \in \mathbf{F}[X_3, X_4]$. By Theorem 2.1, we may take $A_0 = X_4^p - X_4 X_3^{p-1}$ and $A_1 = \xi_{11} X_4 X_3^{p-2} + \xi_{12} X_4^2 X_3^{p-3}$. Thus $X_2 A_1 \in (X_2 X_3^{p-3})$ and $N(X_4) - X_4^p \in (X_1, X_2^2, X_2 X_3^{p-3}, X_3^{p-1})$. Therefore $N(X_4)$ contributes X_4^p to the reduced Gröbner basis.

We have shown that $\{X_1, X_2^2, X_2 X_3^{p-3}, X_3^{p-1}, X_4^p\}$ generates the Hilbert ideal. Furthermore, it is clear that this is a minimal generating set of monomials and is, therefore, a reduced Gröbner basis. The corresponding monomial basis consists of all monomials not divisible by any of the generators and the description of the Hilbert series comes from the monomial basis. \square

Remark 4.3. We observe that the top degree of $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is $2p-3$. It is clear that $2p-3$ is an upper bound for the Noether number of V_4 . Using the theory of SAGBI bases it is possible to prove that $\text{Tr}(X_3^{p-2} X_4^{p-1})$ is indecomposable and, therefore, $\beta(V_4) = 2p-3$. We give a sketch of the proof. For the required background see [18] or [26, Ch. 11].

Let \mathcal{C} denote the generating set given above and define $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \{\text{Tr}(X_3^{p-2}X_4^{p-1})\}$. Note that the elements of \mathcal{D} all have degree less than $2p - 3$. Recall that \mathcal{C} is a SAGBI basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_4]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. Therefore \mathcal{D} is “SAGBI to degree $2p - 4$ ”. The leading monomial of $\text{Tr}(X_3^{p-2}X_4^{p-1})$ is X_3^{2p-3} . The powers of X_3 appearing in $\text{LM}(\mathcal{D})$ are $X_3^{p-1}, X_3^p, \dots, X_3^{2p-4}$. Therefore the leading monomial of $\text{Tr}(X_3^{p-2}X_4^{p-1})$ does not factor over $\text{LM}(\mathcal{D})$ and \mathcal{D} is not a SAGBI basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_4]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$. Thus either $\text{Tr}(X_3^{p-2}X_4^{p-1})$ is indecomposable or a non-trivial tête-a-tête from \mathcal{D} subducts to an invariant with leading monomial X_3^{2p-3} . However, the only monomials in degree $2p - 3$ which are greater than X_3^{2p-3} are of the form $X_3^{2p-3-a}X_4^a$ and the only element of \mathcal{D} whose lead monomial is divisible by X_4 is $N(X_4)$. Therefore the only tête-a-têtes from \mathcal{D} which could subduct to an invariant with leading monomial X_3^{2p-3} are of the form $f_1N(X_4) - f_2N(X_4)$. However, \mathcal{D} is “SAGBI to degree $2p - 4$ ”. Therefore the tête-a-tête $f_1 - f_2$ subducts to zero. Thus $f_1N(X_4) - f_2N(X_4)$ subducts to zero. Since no tête-a-tête from \mathcal{D} can subduct to an invariant with leading monomial X_3^{2p-3} , $\text{Tr}(X_3^{p-2}X_4^{p-1})$ is indecomposable.

5. THE COINVARIANTS OF V_5

In this section we use the generating set for $\mathbf{F}[V_5]^{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ given in [19] to construct a reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal. Choose a basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$ for V_5^* with $\Delta(X_i) = X_{i-1}$ for $i > 1$ and $\Delta(X_1) = 0$. We use the graded reverse lexicographic order with $X_1 < X_2 < X_3 < X_4 < X_5$.

Theorem 5.1. *For $p > 5$, a reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal of V_5 is given by*

$$\{X_1, X_2^2, X_3^2 - 2X_4X_2 - X_3X_2, X_4X_3X_2, X_4^{p-4}X_2, X_4^{p-3}X_3, X_4^{p-1}, X_5^p\},$$

the corresponding monomial basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is given by the monomial factors of $x_4^{p-2}x_5^{p-1}$, $x_3x_4^{p-4}x_5^{p-1}$, $x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5^{p-1}$, and $x_2x_3x_5^{p-1}$, and the Hilbert series of $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is given by $(1 + 3t + 4t^2 + 3(t^3 + \dots + t^{p-4}) + 2t^{p-3} + t^{p-2})(1 + t + \dots + t^{p-1})$.

Remark 5.2. *For $p = 5$, a MAGMA [3] calculation shows that a reduced Gröbner basis for the Hilbert ideal of V_5 is given by*

$$\{X_1, X_2^2, X_3^2 - 2X_4X_2 - X_3X_2, X_2X_3X_4, X_4^2X_3 + 2X_4^2X_2, X_4^3X_2, X_4^4, X_5^5\},$$

the corresponding monomial basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/5}$ is given by the monomial factors of $x_4^3x_5^4$, $x_3x_4x_5^4$, $x_2x_4^2x_5^4$, and $x_2x_3x_5^4$ and the Hilbert series of $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is given by $(1 + 3t + 4t^2 + 2t^3)(1 + t + t^2 + t^3 + t^4)$.

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.1. The generating set given in [19, 5.1] consists of a list of prime independent *rational* invariants, a list of transfers, and $N(X_5)$. The first four rational invariants are X_1 , $X_2^2 - X_1(X_2 + 2X_3)$, $X_3^2 - X_2(X_3 + 2X_4) + X_1(X_3 + 3X_4 + 2X_5)$ and $X_4^3 + X_1^2(3X_4 - X_2) - 3X_1X_2X_3$. These invariants contribute X_1 , X_2^2 and $X_3^2 - X_2(X_3 + 2X_4)$ to the reduced Gröbner basis. The fifth rational invariant, denoted by $\overline{\text{inv}}(X_3^3)$ in [19], can be computed using the algorithm given in the proof of [19, 2.3]. Working modulo the ideal generated by X_1 , this computation gives $\overline{\text{inv}}(X_3^3) \equiv_{(X_1)} 2X_3^3 - 6X_2X_3X_4 + 6X_2^2X_5 - 2X_2^2X_3 - 3X_2X_3^2 - 6X_2^2X_4$. This invariant contributes $X_2X_3X_4$ to the reduced Gröbner basis. The sixth rational invariant is in fact decomposable and was

required in [19, 5.1] in order for the generating set to be a SAGBI basis. Therefore, denoting the ideal generated by the rational invariants by \mathfrak{R} , we have

$$\mathfrak{R} = (X_1, X_2^2, X_3^2 - X_2(X_3 + 2X_4), X_2X_3X_4)\mathbf{F}[V].$$

Note that $X_2X_3^2$ and X_3^3 are both elements of \mathfrak{R} .

The following lemma will be used in determining the contribution of the image of the transfer to the Hilbert ideal.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose a, b, c and d are non-negative integers.*

(i) *If $c + 2b + 3a < p - 1$, then $X_2^a X_3^b X_4^c X_5^d$ does not appear in $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$.*

(ii) *If $i - d + b + 2a < p - 1$, then $X_2^a X_3^b X_4^c X_5^d$ does not appear in $\text{Tr}(X_4^k X_5^i)$.*

Proof. Note that $\sigma^j(X_5^i) = (X_5 + jX_4 + \binom{j}{2}X_3 + \binom{j}{3}X_2 + \binom{j}{4}X_1)^i$. Thus the coefficient of $X_2^a X_3^b X_4^c X_5^d$ in $\sigma^j(X_5^i)$ is $\binom{i}{a} \binom{i-a}{b} \binom{i-a-b}{c} \binom{j}{3}^a \binom{j}{2}^b j^c$ which is a polynomial of degree $c + 2b + 3a$ in j . Hence by Lemma 2.2 the coefficients will sum to zero under the transfer if $c + 2b + 3a < p - 1$.

For the second statement, note that

$$\sigma^j(X_4^k X_5^i) \equiv_{(X_1)} \left(X_4 + jX_3 + \binom{j}{2}X_2 \right)^k \left(X_5 + jX_4 + \binom{j}{2}X_3 + \binom{j}{3}X_2 \right)^i.$$

We show that the coefficient of $X_2^a X_3^b X_4^c X_5^d$ as a polynomial in j is of degree $2a + b + i - d$. Assume that $X_2^{a_1} X_3^{b_1} X_4^{c_1}$ comes from the first factor and $X_2^{a_2} X_3^{b_2} X_4^{c_2} X_5^d$ comes from the second factor. Note that we have $a_1 + a_2 = a$, $b_1 + b_2 = b$, $c_1 + c_2 = c$, $a_1 + b_1 + c_1 = k$, $a_2 + b_2 + c_2 + d = i$. The coefficient of $X_2^{a_1} X_3^{b_1} X_4^{c_1}$ in $\sigma^j(X_4^k)$ is of degree $b_1 + 2a_1$ in j . On the other hand the coefficient of $X_2^{a_2} X_3^{b_2} X_4^{c_2} X_5^d$ in $\sigma^j(X_5^i)$ is of degree $c_2 + 2b_2 + 3a_2$ in j . It follows that the coefficient of the product $X_2^a X_3^b X_4^c X_5^d$ has degree $c_2 + 2b_2 + b_1 + 3a_2 + 2a_1 = c_2 + b_2 + a_2 + b + 2a = i - d + b + 2a$. By Lemma 2.2 the coefficient will sum to zero under the transfer if $i - d + b + 2a < p - 1$. \square

The generating set in [19, 5.1] includes one exceptional transfer, $\text{Tr}(X_2X_3X_5^{(p-1)/2})$, and the following five families:

- (i) $\text{Tr}(X_4^i X_5^{p-1})$ and $\text{Tr}(X_2X_4^i X_5^{p-1})$ for $0 \leq i \leq p - 2$,
- (ii) $\text{Tr}(X_4^i X_5^{p-2})$ and $\text{Tr}(X_2X_4^i X_5^{p-2})$ for $3 \leq i \leq p - 2$,
- (iii) $\text{Tr}(X_4^2 X_5^i)$ and $\text{Tr}(X_2X_4^2 X_5^i)$ for $(p-1)/2 \leq i \leq p - 2$,
- (iv) $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$ for $(p+1)/2 \leq i \leq p - 1$,
- (v) $\text{Tr}(X_2X_5^i)$ for $(p-1)/2 \leq i \leq p - 2$.

We start with the fourth family. By [19, 3.2] the leading monomial of $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$ is $X_3^{p-1-i} X_4^{2i-p+1}$. Therefore, as i runs from $(p+1)/2$ to $p-1$, the leading monomials are $X_3^{(p-3)/2} X_4^2, X_3^{(p-5)/2} X_4^4, \dots, X_3 X_4^{p-3}, X_4^{p-1}$. The hypothesis $p > 5$ means that $(p-3)/2 \geq 2$.

First assume $i \leq p-4$. In this case, the leading monomial is divisible by X_3^3 and hence lies in \mathfrak{R} . Suppose α is a monomial of degree i with $\alpha < X_3^{p-1-i} X_4^{2i-p+1}$ and $\alpha \notin \mathfrak{R}$. Since we are using the graded reverse lexicographic order and $p-1-i \geq 3$, α must be divisible by X_1, X_2 or X_3^3 . Note that $X_1, X_2^2, X_2X_3^2, X_3^3$ and $X_2X_3X_4$ lie in \mathfrak{R} . Thus α is either $X_2X_3X_5^{i-2}$ or of the form $X_2X_4^c X_5^{i-c-1}$. Since $p > 5$, it follows from Lemma 5.3(i) that $X_2X_3X_5^{i-2}$ does not appear in $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$.

Furthermore, since $i \leq p-4$ and $i-c-1 \geq 0$, we have $c+3 \leq p-2$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.3(i), $X_2X_4^cX_5^{i-c-1}$ does not appear in $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$. Thus $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$ does not contribute to the reduced Gröbner basis.

Next assume $i = p-3$. Then the leading monomial of $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$ is $X_3^2X_4^{p-5}$. Using Lemma 5.3(i), the only other monomial appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-3})$ and not contained in \mathfrak{R} is $X_2X_4^{p-4}$. The coefficient of $X_3^2X_4^{p-5}$ in $\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-3})$ is

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \binom{p-3}{2} \binom{j}{2}^2 j^{p-5} = \binom{p-3}{2} \left(\frac{-1}{4} \right) = \frac{-3}{2}$$

and the coefficient of $X_2X_4^{p-4}$ is

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} (p-3) \binom{j}{3} j^{p-4} = \frac{-(p-3)}{6} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-3}) \equiv_{\mathfrak{R}} \frac{-3}{2} X_3^2 X_4^{p-5} + \frac{1}{2} X_2 X_4^{p-4}.$$

Since $X_3^2 - X_2(X_3 + 2X_4) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $p > 5$, it follows that $\mathfrak{R} + (\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-3})) = \mathfrak{R} + (X_2X_4^{p-4})$.

For $i = p-2$, the leading monomial of $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$ is $X_3X_4^{p-3}$. Using Lemma 5.3(i), we observe that all monomials less than $X_3X_4^{p-3}$ which appear in $\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-2})$ are divisible by at least one of X_1 , $X_2X_3X_4$, X_2^2 , X_3^3 , $X_2X_4^{p-4}$ or $X_3^2X_4^{p-5}$. Since all of these monomials are in $\mathfrak{R} + (\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-3}))$, it follows that the contribution to the Hilbert ideal from $\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-2})$ is $X_3X_4^{p-3}$.

For $i = p-1$, the leading monomial of $\text{Tr}(X_5^i)$ is X_4^{p-1} . Again using Lemma 5.3(i), it is not difficult to see that the smaller monomials appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-1})$ are divisible by at least one of X_1 , X_2^2 or X_3^3 , $X_2X_4^{p-4}$, $X_3^2X_4^{p-5}$ or $X_3X_4^{p-3}$, all of which are in $\mathfrak{R} + (\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-3}), \text{Tr}(X_5^{p-2}))$. Therefore the contribution to the Hilbert ideal from $\text{Tr}(X_5^{p-1})$ is X_4^{p-1} .

We define $I := \mathfrak{R} + (\text{Tr}(X_5^i) \mid i = (p-3)/2, \dots, p-1)$. We have shown that $\{X_1, X_2^2, X_3^2 - 2X_4X_2 - X_3X_2, X_4X_3X_2, X_4^{p-4}X_2, X_4^{p-3}X_3, X_4^{p-1}\}$ is a generating set for I . We will show that the remaining families of transfers do not contribute to the Gröbner basis and that $N(X_5)$ contributes X_5^p .

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that α and β are monomials with $\alpha < \beta$ and $\deg(\alpha) = \deg(\beta)$. If $X_2X_3X_4$ divides β then $\alpha \in (X_1, X_2^2, X_2X_3^2, X_2X_3X_4)$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from the definition of the graded reverse lexicographic order. \square

By [19, 3.2 & 3.6], the leading monomial of $\text{Tr}(X_2X_3X_5^{(p-1)/2})$ is $X_2X_3X_4^{(p-2)/2}$. Therefore, using Lemma 5.4, each monomial appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_2X_3X_5^{(p-1)/2})$ lies in I . Thus the exceptional transfer does not contribute to the Gröbner basis.

For the fifth family of transfers, using [19, 3.2 & 3.6], the leading monomials are $X_2X_3^{p-1-i}X_4^{2i-p-1}$ for $i = (p-1)/2, \dots, p-2$. For $i = (p-1)/2$, this gives $X_2X_3^{(p-1)/2}$ which clearly lies in I and, by Lemma 4.1, all of the smaller monomials

appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_2 X_5^{(p-1)/2})$ lie in I . For $i > (p-1)/2$, the leading monomial of $\text{Tr}(X_2 X_5^i)$ is divisible by $X_2 X_3 X_4$. Therefore this monomial lies in I and, by Lemma 5.4, every monomial appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_2 X_5^i)$ lies in I . Thus the fifth family does not contribute to the Gröbner basis of the Hilbert ideal. Similarly the invariants of the form $\text{Tr}(X_2 X_4^2 X_5^i)$ appearing in family three and the invariants of form $\text{Tr}(X_2 X_4^i X_5^{p-2})$ appearing in family two, have leading monomials divisible by $X_2 X_3 X_4$ and therefore do not contribute to the Gröbner basis.

For the invariants of the form $\text{Tr}(X_4^2 X_5^i)$ appearing in family three, by [19, 3.5], the leading monomials are $X_3^{p-1-i} X_4^{2i-p+3}$. Therefore, as i runs from $(p-1)/2$ to $p-2$, the leading monomials are $X_3^{(p-1)/2} X_4^2, \dots, X_3^3 X_4^{p-5}, X_3^2 X_4^{p-3}, X_3 X_4^{p-1}$. Clearly these monomials lie in I . We will show that the smaller monomials appearing in these transfers also lie in I . Suppose $j > 0$ and α is a monomial with $\alpha < X_3^j X_4^{i+2-j}$, $\deg(\alpha) = i+2$ and $\alpha \notin I$. Then one of the following holds: (i) $\alpha = X_2 X_3 X_5^i$, (ii) $\alpha = X_2 X_4^c X_5^{i+1-c}$ with $c < p-4$, (iii) $j = 1$, $i = p-2$ and $\alpha = X_3^2 X_4^c X_5^{p-2-c}$ with $c < p-3$. We use Lemma 5.3(ii). For the first case $i-d+b+2a = 3 < p-1$, for the second case $i-d+b+2a = c+1 < p-1$ and for the third case $i-d+b+2a = p-2-(p-2-c)+2 = c+2 < p-1$. Therefore none of these monomials appear in $\text{Tr}(X_4^2 X_5^i)$.

For the invariants of the form $\text{Tr}(X_4^k X_5^{p-2})$ appearing in the second family, by [19, 3.4], the leading monomials are $X_3 X_4^{p+k-3}$ for $k = 3, \dots, p-2$. Clearly these monomials lie in I . We will show that the smaller monomials appearing in these transfers also lie in I . Suppose α is a monomial with $\alpha < X_3 X_4^{p+k-3}$, $\deg(\alpha) = p+k-2$ and $\alpha \notin I$. Then one of the following holds: (i) $\alpha = X_2 X_3 X_5^{p+k-4}$, (ii) $\alpha = X_2 X_4^c X_5^{p+k-c-3}$ with $c < p-4$, (iii) $\alpha = X_3^2 X_4^c X_5^{p+k-c-2}$ with $c < p-3$. Clearly the exponent of X_5 must be less than or equal to $p-2$ for any monomial appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_4^k X_5^{p-2})$. For the first case, this exponent on X_5 is $p+k-2 \geq p+3-2 = p-1$. Thus this monomial does not appear. Using Lemma 5.3(ii), for the second case, $i-d+b+2a = p-2-(p+k-c-3)+2 = c+3-k < p-1$ and for the third case $i-d+b+2a = p-2-(p+k-c-4)+2 = c+4-k < p-1$. Therefore none of these monomials appear in $\text{Tr}(X_4^k X_5^{p-1})$.

For the invariants of the form $\text{Tr}(X_4^k X_5^{p-1})$ appearing in the first family, by [19, 3.3], the leading monomial is X_4^{p+k-1} for $k = 1, \dots, p-2$. (The case of $k = 0$ appears in the fourth family.) Clearly these monomials lie in I . As with the previous families, we will show that all smaller monomials appearing in the transfer also lie in I . Suppose α is a monomial with $\alpha < X_4^{p+k-1}$, $\deg(\alpha) = p+k-1$ and $\alpha \notin I$. Then one of the following holds: (i) $\alpha = X_2 X_3 X_5^{p+k-2}$, (ii) $\alpha = X_2 X_4^c X_5^{p+k-c-2}$ with $c < p-4$, (iii) $\alpha = X_3^b X_4^c X_5^{p+k-c-1-b}$ with $c < p-3$ and $b = 1, 2$. Again we use Lemma 5.3. For the first case $i-d+b+2a = p-1-(p+k-2)+3 = 4-k < p-1$ and for the second case $i-d+b+2a = p-1-(p+k-c-2)+2 = c-k+3 < p-1$. Therefore these monomials do not appear in $\text{Tr}(X_4^k X_5^{p-1})$. For the third case $i-d+b+2a = p-1-(p+k-c-b-1)+b = c-k+2b$. This is less than $p-1$ except for $k = 1$, $b = 2$, $c = p-4$. However, since $X_3^2 - X_2 X_3 - 2X_2 X_4 \in I$, we have $X_3^2 X_4^{p-4} X_5^2 \equiv_I (X_2 X_3 + 2X_2 X_4) X_4^{p-4} X_5^2 \in I$. Finally, we consider the invariants of the form $\text{Tr}(X_2 X_4^k X_5^{p-1})$ appearing in the first family. Since $\Delta(X_2) \equiv_{(X_1)} 0$, we have $\text{Tr}(X_2 X_4^k X_5^{p-1}) \equiv_{(X_1)} X_2 \text{Tr}(X_4^k X_5^{p-1})$.

Since we have shown that every monomial appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_4^k X_5^{p-1})$ lies in I , it follows that every monomial appearing in $\text{Tr}(X_2 X_4^k X_5^{p-1})$ lies in I .

The final element remaining in the generating set is $N(X_5)$. The leading monomial of $N(X_5)$ is clearly X_5^p . We will show that the remaining monomials appearing in $N(X_5)$ lie in I . We choose polynomials B_0 and B_1 in $\mathbf{F}[X_3, X_4, X_5]$ such that $N(X_5) \equiv_{(X_1, X_2^2)} B_0 + X_2 B_1$. Working modulo (X_1, X_2) , the variable X_5 generates an $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -module isomorphic to V_3 . Thus we may use the results of Section 2 to compute B_0 . By Theorem 2.1 we have

$$B_0 \equiv_{(X_3^3)} X_5^p - X_4^{p-1} X_5 + X_3 (\xi_{11} X_5 X_4^{p-2} + \xi_{12} X_5^2 X_4^{p-3}) \\ + X_3^2 (\xi_{21} X_5 X_4^{p-3} + \xi_{22} X_5^2 X_4^{p-4} + \xi_{23} X_5^3 X_4^{p-5}).$$

Therefore $B_0 \equiv_I X_5^p + X_3^2 (\xi_{22} X_5^2 X_4^{p-4} + \xi_{23} X_5^3 X_4^{p-5})$. Since $p > 5$, and $X_3^2 - X_2 X_3 - 2X_2 X_4$ and $X_2 X_3 X_4$ are both in I , we have

$$X_3^2 (\xi_{22} X_5^2 X_4^{p-4} + \xi_{23} X_5^3 X_4^{p-5}) \equiv_I 2 (\xi_{22} X_5^2 X_2 X_4^{p-3} + \xi_{23} X_5^3 X_2 X_4^{p-4}).$$

Furthermore, using the fact that $X_2 X_4^{p-4} \in I$, gives $B_0 \equiv_I X_5^p$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 5.1 we need to show that $X_2 B_1 \in I$. Note that

$$N(X_5) \equiv_{(X_1)} \prod_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \left(X_5 + j X_4 + \binom{j}{2} X_3 + \binom{j}{3} X_2 \right).$$

Therefore

$$X_2 B_1 = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \binom{j}{3} X_2 \prod_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{j\}} \left(X_5 + k X_4 + \binom{k}{2} X_3 \right).$$

Since $X_2 X_3^2$ and $X_2 X_3 X_4$ lie in I , we have

$$X_2 B_1 \equiv_I \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \binom{j}{3} X_2 \prod_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{j\}} (X_5 + k X_4) \right) + X_2 X_3 X_5^{p-2} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{j\}} \binom{j}{3} \binom{k}{2}.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we see that $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{j\}} \binom{k}{2} = -\binom{j}{2}$ giving $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{j\}} \binom{j}{3} \binom{k}{2} = -\sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \binom{j}{3} \binom{j}{2} = 0$ for $p > 5$. Thus

$$X_2 B_1 \equiv_I \sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \binom{j}{3} X_2 \prod_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{j\}} (X_5 + k X_4).$$

However

$$\prod_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{j\}} (X_5 + k X_4) = \frac{\prod_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p} (X_5 + k X_4)}{X_5 + j X_4} \\ = \frac{X_5^p - X_5 X_4^{p-1}}{X_5 + j X_4} = X_5^{p-1} \left(\frac{1 - (X_4/X_5)^{p-1}}{1 + (j X_4/X_5)} \right).$$

For the purposes of computing $X_2 B_1$ modulo I , we may assume $j \neq 0$. This means that $(j)^{p-1} = 1$. Thus, using $(1 - a^n)/(1 - a) = 1 + a + \dots + a^{n-1}$ with

$a = -jX_4/X_5$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k \in \mathbf{F}_p \setminus \{j\}} (X_5 + kX_4) &= X_5^{p-1} (1 + (-jX_4/X_5) + \cdots + (-jX_4/X_5)^{p-2}) \\ &= X_5^{p-1} - jX_4X_5^{p-2} + j^2X_4^2X_5^{p-3} + \cdots + (-j)^{p-2}X_4^{p-2}X_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$X_2B_1 \equiv_I \sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \binom{j}{3} X_2 (X_5^{p-1} - jX_4X_5^{p-2} + \cdots + (-j)^{p-2}X_4^{p-2}X_5).$$

Since $\binom{j}{3}$ is a polynomial of degree 3 in j , using Lemma 2.2 gives

$$X_2B_1 \equiv_I \sum_{j \in \mathbf{F}_p} \binom{j}{3} X_2 ((-j)^{p-4}X_4^{p-4}X_5^3 + (-j)^{p-3}X_4^{p-3}X_5^2 + (-j)^{p-2}X_4^{p-2}X_5).$$

Therefore, since $X_2X_4^{p-4} \in I$, we have $X_2B_1 \in I$.

We have shown that $N(X_5) \equiv_I X_5^p$. Therefore the Hilbert ideal is generated by

$$\{X_1, X_2^2, X_3^2 - 2X_4X_2 - X_3X_2, X_4X_3X_2, X_4^{p-4}X_2, X_4^{p-3}X_3, X_4^{p-1}, X_5^p\}.$$

It is clear that this set is a reduced Gröbner basis. The corresponding monomial basis consists of all monomials not divisible by any of the generators and the description of the Hilbert series comes from the monomial basis.

Remark 5.5. *We observe that the top degree of $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ is $2p-3$. It is clear that $2p-3$ is an upper bound for the Noether number of V_4 . It follows from Remark 4.3 and [20, 4.2], that the Noether number of V_5 is $2p-3$.*

6. THE MODULE STRUCTURE FOR THE COINVARIANTS OF V_4 AND V_5

In this section we use the bases constructed in Sections 4 and 5 to determine the \mathbf{FZ}/p -module structure of the coinvariants of V_4 and V_5 . Note that, since the Hilbert ideal is homogeneous, the coinvariants are a graded ring. Furthermore, the group action preserves degrees. Thus the homogeneous components are \mathbf{FZ}/p -module summands. We will refine this decomposition by describing each homogeneous component as a direct sum of indecomposable modules. Recall that the socle of a module is the sum of its irreducible submodules. For an \mathbf{FZ}/p -module, this is the span of the fixed points. A non-zero cyclic \mathbf{FZ}/p -module has a one dimensional socle and, since all indecomposable \mathbf{FZ}/p -modules are cyclic, the dimension of the socle is the number of summands. For a non-zero cyclic module with socle $\text{Span}(v)$, we will say that v *determines* the socle.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m are cyclic submodules of W and that ω_i determines the socle of W_i . If $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m\}$ is linearly independent and $\dim(W) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) + \cdots + \dim(W_m)$, then $W = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_m$.*

Proof. For a homomorphism of modules, the socle of the kernel is the kernel of the restriction of the homomorphism to the socle. Thus a homomorphism which is injective on its socle is injective. Apply this to the homomorphism from the external direct sum of the W_i to their internal sum. Since $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m\}$ is linearly independent, this map is injective on its socle and hence injective.

Therefore the internal sum of the W_i is direct and $W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_m$ is a subspace of W . However, since $\dim(W) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) + \cdots + \dim(W_m)$, the subspace coincides with W . \square

We define the *weight* of a monomial in $\mathbf{F}[V_n]$ by $\text{wt}(X_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n}) = e_1 + 2e_2 + \cdots + ne_n$. If f is a linear combination of monomials of the same weight, we will refer to f as *isobaric* and we will take the weight of f to be the common weight of the monomials appearing in f . Note that if β is a monomial appearing in $\Delta(f)$ with f isobaric, then $\text{wt}(\beta) < \text{wt}(f)$. Thus, for a fixed positive integer m , the span of the monomials of weight less than m forms an $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -submodule. Allowing m to vary over the positive integers gives a weight filtration of the polynomial ring. For V_4 and V_5 we fix a basis for the coinvariants given by images of monomials. For V_4 , the basis is given in Theorem 4.2 and for V_5 the basis is given by Theorem 5.1. We define the weight of the basis elements to be the weight of the corresponding monomial and, as in the polynomial ring, a linear combination of basis elements of a common weight is isobaric with a well defined weight.

Lemma 6.2. *If f is an isobaric coinvariant of weight m , then $\Delta(f)$ is in the span of the basis elements of weight less than m .*

Proof. Since Δ is linear it is sufficient to consider $\Delta(\beta)$ for a basis element β of weight m . To compute $\Delta(\beta)$, we lift to the corresponding monomial in the polynomial ring, say $\bar{\beta}$, compute $\Delta(\bar{\beta})$, and then project back to coinvariants. The terms appearing in $\Delta(\bar{\beta})$ all have weight less than m . For V_4 , the reduced Gröbner basis is a set of monomials. Thus each term appearing in $\Delta(\bar{\beta})$ either projects to zero or projects to a term of weight less than m . For V_5 , there are seven monomial relations and one non-isobaric relation given by $X_3^2 - 2X_2X_4 - X_2X_3$. This last relation is used to give a rewriting rule which replaces the product $x_3 \cdot x_3$ with $2x_2x_4 + x_2x_3$. Thus an element of weight 6 in the polynomial ring is identified with a sum of two terms, one of weight 6 and one of weight 5, in the coinvariants. Thus each term appearing in $\Delta(\bar{\beta})$ either projects to zero or projects to a linear combination of terms with weight less than m . \square

As a consequence of Lemma 6.2, for each positive integer m , the span of the basis elements of weight less than m form an $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -submodule. Collectively these submodules give a weight filtration of the coinvariants. Suppose β is a basis element of weight m . Define $\delta(\beta)$ to be the sum of terms of weight $m - 1$ appearing in $\Delta(\beta)$ and extend δ to linear map on the coinvariants. We can think of δ as the linear map induced by Δ on the associated graded module of the weight filtration. In the following we use $\mathbf{F}[V]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ to denote the homogeneous component of degree d .

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose n is 4 or 5, and m is the minimum weight occurring in $\mathbf{F}[V_n]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$. For an isobaric coinvariant f of weight ℓ and a positive integer k , any term appearing in $\delta^k(f) - \Delta^k(f)$ has weight less than $\ell - k$. In particular, if $\ell = m + k$, then $\delta^k(f) = \Delta^k(f)$. Furthermore, if $\ell = m$, then f is invariant.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . For $k = 1$, the result is essentially the definition of δ . Suppose the result is true for $k > 1$. Then $\delta^k(f) = \Delta^k(f) + h$ where

h is a sum of terms of weight less than $\ell - k$. Thus $\delta(\delta^k(f))$ consists of the sum of the terms of weight $\ell - k - 1$ in $\Delta(\Delta^k(f)) + \Delta(h)$. However, from Lemma 6.2, all of terms appearing in $\Delta(h)$ have weight less than $\ell - k - 1$. Therefore $\delta^{k+1}(f)$ consists of the sum of the terms of weight $\ell - (k + 1)$ appearing in $\Delta^{k+1}(f)$, as required. If $\ell - k = m$, there are no terms of weight less than $\ell - k$ so $\delta^k(f) = \Delta^k(f)$. If $\ell = m$, the fact that f is invariant follows from Lemma 6.2. \square

The following lemma will play an important role in determining the \mathbf{FZ}/p - module structure of $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$.

Lemma 6.4. *In $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$, for $j \geq k$,*

$$\delta^k(x_3^i x_4^j) = \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} x_3^{i+k} x_4^{j-k} + \frac{j!}{(j-k+1)!} \left(ik + \binom{k}{2} \right) x_2 x_3^{i+k-2} x_4^{j-k+1}.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . For $k = 1$, a straight forward calculation gives $\delta(x_3^i x_4^j) = j x_3^{i+1} x_4^{j-1} + i x_2 x_3^{i-1} x_4^j$. For $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{k+1}(x_3^i x_4^j) &= \delta(\delta^k(x_3^i x_4^j)) \\ &= \delta \left(\frac{j!}{(j-k)!} x_3^{i+k} x_4^{j-k} + \frac{j!}{(j-k+1)!} \left(ik + \binom{k}{2} \right) x_2 x_3^{i+k-2} x_4^{j-k+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} (j-k) x_3^{i+k+1} x_4^{j-k-1} + \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} (i+k) x_2 x_3^{i+k-1} x_4^{j-k} \\ &\quad + \frac{j!}{(j-k+1)!} \left(ik + \binom{k}{2} \right) (j-k+1) x_2 x_3^{i+k-1} x_4^{j-k} \\ &= \frac{(j!) x_3^{i+(k+1)} x_4^{j-(k+1)}}{(j-(k+1))!} + \frac{(j!) x_2 x_3^{i+k-1} x_4^{j-k}}{(j-k)!} \left((i+k) + ik + \binom{k}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{(j!) x_3^{i+(k+1)} x_4^{j-(k+1)}}{(j-(k+1))!} + \frac{(j!) x_2 x_3^{i+(k+1)-2} x_4^{j-(k+1)+1}}{(j-(k+1)+1)!} \left(i(k+1) + \binom{k+1}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Theorem 6.5. (i) $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^0 \cong \mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^{2p-3} \cong V_1$, $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^1 \cong V_3$.

(ii) For $d = p, \dots, 2p-4$,

$$\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d = x_3^{d-(p-1)} x_4^{p-1} \mathbf{FZ}/p \oplus x_2 x_3^{d-p} x_4^{p-1} \mathbf{FZ}/p \cong V_{2p-2-d} \oplus V_{2p-3-d}$$

with $\left(\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \right)^{\mathbf{Z}/p} = \text{Span}\{x_3^{p-2} x_4^{d-(p-2)}, x_2 x_3^{p-4} x_4^{d-(p-3)}\}.$

(iii) For $d = p-1, p-2$,

$$\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d = x_4^d \mathbf{FZ}/p \oplus x_2 x_4^{d-1} \mathbf{FZ}/p \cong V_{p-1} \oplus V_{p-3}$$

with $\left(\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \right)^{\mathbf{Z}/p} = \text{Span}\{x_3^{p-2} x_4^{d-(p-2)}, x_2 x_3^{p-4} x_4^{d-(p-3)}\}.$

(iv) For $d = 2, \dots, p-3$,

$$\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d = x_4^d \mathbf{FZ}/p \oplus \left(x_3^2 x_4^{d-2} - \frac{d+2}{2} x_2 x_4^{d-1} \right) \mathbf{FZ}/p \cong V_{d+2} \oplus V_{d-1}$$

with $\left(\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \right)^{\mathbf{Z}/p} = \text{Span}\{x_3^d - d x_2 x_3^{d-2} x_4, x_2 x_3^{d-1}\}.$

Proof. Part (i) is clear.

(ii) For $p \leq d \leq 2p - 4$, from Theorem 4.2, a basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is given by

$$x_3^{p-2} x_4^{d-(p-2)}, x_3^{p-3} x_4^{d-(p-3)}, \dots, x_3^{d-(p-1)} x_4^{p-1}$$

and

$$x_2 x_3^{p-4} x_4^{d-(p-3)}, x_2 x_3^{p-5} x_4^{d-(p-4)}, \dots, x_2 x_3^{d-p} x_4^{p-1}.$$

Therefore the dimension of $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is $(2p - 2 - d) + (2p - 3 - d)$. The elements $x_3^{p-2} x_4^{d-(p-2)}$ and $x_2 x_3^{p-4} x_4^{d-(p-3)}$ are invariant and have minimum weight. From Lemma 6.4, $\delta^{2p-3-d}(x_3^{d-(p-1)} x_4^{p-1})$ is a linear combination of $x_3^{p-2} x_4^{d-(p-2)}$ and $x_2 x_3^{p-4} x_4^{d-(p-3)}$ with the coefficient of $x_3^{p-2} x_4^{d-(p-2)}$ non-zero. Applying Lemma 6.3 gives $\Delta^{2p-3-d}(x_3^{d-(p-1)} x_4^{p-1}) = \delta^{2p-3-d}(x_3^{d-(p-1)} x_4^{p-1})$. Thus $x_3^{p-2} x_4^{d-(p-2)}$ generates a module of dimension $2p - 2 - d$. Again using Lemma 6.4,

$$\delta^{2p-4-d}(x_2 x_3^{d-p} x_4^{p-1}) = x_2 \delta^{2p-4-d}(x_3^{d-p} x_4^{p-1}) = c x_2 x_3^{p-4} x_4^{d-(p-3)}$$

with $c = (p-1)!/(d-p+3)! \neq 0$. Therefore $x_2 x_3^{p-4} x_4^{d-(p-3)}$ generates a module of dimension $2p - 3 - d$. Since the fixed points are linearly independent, using Lemma 6.1 shows that the sum of $x_3^{d-(p-1)} x_4^{p-1} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ and $x_2 x_3^{d-p} x_4^{p-1} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ is direct. Therefore $x_3^{d-(p-1)} x_4^{p-1} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p + x_2 x_3^{d-p} x_4^{p-1} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ is a submodule isomorphic to $V_{2p-2-d} \oplus V_{2p-3-d}$. Since the dimensions match, this submodule is all of $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$.

(iii) The proof for case (iii) is similar to case (ii). It follows from Lemma 6.4 that x_4^d and $x_2 x_4^{d-1}$ generate modules of the dimensions $p-1$ and $p-3$, respectively and that $\delta^{p-2}(x_4^d)$ and $\delta^{p-4}(x_2 x_4^{d-1})$ are linearly independent invariants of minimum weight. Therefore, using Lemma 6.1, we have identified a submodule isomorphic to $V_{p-1} \oplus V_{p-3}$. The result follows from the observation that both $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^{p-1}$ and $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^{p-2}$ have dimension $2p - 4$.

(iv) For $2 \leq d \leq p - 3$, a basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is given by $x_3^d, x_3^{d-1} x_4, \dots, x_4^d$ and $x_2 x_3^{d-1}, x_2 x_3^{d-2} x_4, \dots, x_2 x_4^{d-1}$. Therefore the dimension of $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is $2d + 1$. The minimum weight subspace is given by $\text{Span}(x_2 x_3^{d-1})$. A second invariant, isobaric but with non-minimum weight, is given by $x_3^d - d x_2 x_3^{d-2} x_4$. Using Lemma 6.4, $\delta^d(x_4^d) = d! (x_3^d + d(d-1) x_2 x_3^{d-2} x_4 / 2)$. Direct calculation gives $\delta^{d+1}(x_4^d) = \delta(\delta^d(x_4^d)) = d! d(d-1) x_2 x_3^{d-1} / 2$. Thus x_4^d generates a module of dimension $d + 2$. Again using Lemma 6.4 gives $\delta^{d-2}(x_4^d - (d+2) x_2 x_4^{d-1} / 2) = (d-1)! (x_3^d - d x_2 x_3^{d-2} x_4)$. The only basis element with weight less than $3d$ is the invariant $x_2 x_3^{d-1}$. Therefore, using Lemma 6.3, $\Delta^{d-2}(x_4^d - (d+2) x_2 x_4^{d-1} / 2) = (d-1)! (x_3^d - d x_2 x_3^{d-2} x_4) + c x_2 x_3^{d-1}$ for some constant c . Thus $x_4^d - (d+2) x_2 x_4^{d-1} / 2$ generates a module of dimension $d - 1$. The intersection of the socles of the two given submodules is trivial. Therefore, using Lemma 6.1, the sum of the modules is direct. Thus $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ has a submodule isomorphic to $V_{d+2} \oplus V_{d-1}$ and, since the dimension of $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is $2d + 1$, this submodule is all of $\mathbf{F}[V_4]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$. \square

We will require a number of technical lemmas to determine the $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z}/p$ -module structure of $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$.

Lemma 6.6. *In $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$, for $j \geq k$,*

$$\delta^k(x_3x_4^ix_5^j) = \frac{j!}{(j-k)!}x_3x_4^{k+i}x_5^{j-k} + \frac{j!(2ik+k^2)}{(j-k+1)!}x_2x_4^{k+i-1}x_5^{j-k+1} + c_kx_2x_3x_5^{j-k+2}$$

where $c_k = 0$ unless $k+i = 3$ in which case c_k equals the coefficient of $x_2x_4^{i+k-2}x_5^{j-k+2}$ in $\delta^{k-1}(x_3x_4^ix_5^j)$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . First consider $k = 1$. A direct calculation gives $\delta(x_3x_4^ix_5^j) = x_2x_4^ix_5^j + ix_3^2x_4^{i-1}x_5^j + jx_3x_4^{i+1}x_5^{j-1}$. In $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$, we have the relation $x_3^2 = x_2(2x_4 + x_3)$. Since δ picks out the highest weight terms of Δ we may substitute $2x_2x_4$ for x_3^2 giving $\delta(x_3x_4^ix_5^j) = (2i+1)x_2x_4^ix_5^j + jx_3x_4^{i+1}x_5^{j-1}$. For $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{k+1}(x_3x_4^ix_5^j) &= \delta(\delta^k(x_3x_4^ix_5^j)) \\ &= \delta\left(\frac{j!}{(j-k)!}x_3x_4^{k+i}x_5^{j-k} + \frac{j!(2ik+k^2)}{(j-k+1)!}x_2x_4^{k+i-1}x_5^{j-k+1} + c_kx_2x_3x_5^{j-k+2}\right) \\ &= \frac{j!}{(j-k-1)!}x_3x_4^{k+i+1}x_5^{j-k-1} + \frac{j!(k+i)}{(j-k)!}x_3^2x_4^{k+i-1}x_5^{j-k} + \frac{(j!)x_2x_4^{k+i}x_5^{j-k}}{(j-k)!} \\ &\quad + \frac{j!(2ik+k^2)}{(j-k)!}x_2x_4^{k+i}x_5^{j-k} + c_{k+1}x_2x_3x_5^{j-k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $2x_2x_4$ for x_3^2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{k+1}(x_3x_5^j) &\equiv_{(x_2x_3)} \frac{(j!)x_3x_4^{k+i+1}x_5^{j-k-1}}{(j-k-1)!} + \frac{j!(2(k+i)+1+2ik+k^2)}{(j-k)!}x_2x_4^{k+i}x_5^{j-k} \\ &\equiv_{(x_2x_3)} \frac{(j!)x_3x_4^{i+k+1}x_5^{j-(k+1)}}{(j-k-1)!} + \frac{j!(2i(k+1)+(k+1)^2)}{(j-k)!}x_2x_4^{k+i}x_5^{j-k} \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Lemma 6.7. *For $p-4 \geq d > 3$, $\delta^d(x_3x_5^{d-1}) = d(d!)x_2x_4^{d-1}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.6,

$$\delta^{d-1}(x_3x_5^{d-1}) \equiv_{(x_2x_3)} (d-1)!x_3x_4^{d-1} + (d-1)!(d-1)^2x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5.$$

Applying δ and using Lemma 6.6 gives

$$\delta^d(x_3x_5^{d-1}) = (d-1)!((2d-1) + (d-1)^2)x_2x_4^{d-1} = d^2(d-1)!x_2x_4^{d-1}$$

as required. \square

Lemma 6.8. *In $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$, for $j \geq k$,*

$$\delta^k(x_4^ix_5^j) = a_kx_4^{i+k}x_5^{j-k} + b_kx_3x_4^{i+k-2}x_5^{j-k+1} + c_kx_2x_4^{i+k-3}x_5^{j-k+2} + d_kx_2x_3x_5^{i+j-2}$$

where

$$a_k = \frac{j!}{(j-k)!}, \quad b_k = \frac{j!}{(j-k+1)!} \left(ik + \binom{k}{2} \right),$$

$$c_k = \frac{j!}{(j-k+2)!} \binom{k}{2} \left(2i^2 + (2k-5)i + \frac{(k-2)(3k-7)}{6} \right)$$

and $d_k = 0$ unless $i+k = 5$ in which case $d_k = c_{k-1}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . For $k = 1$, a straight forward calculation gives $\delta(x_4^i x_5^j) = j x_4^{i+1} x_5^{j-1} + i x_3 x_4^{i-1} x_5^j$. For $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\delta^{k+1}(x_4^i x_5^j) = \delta \left(a_k x_4^{i+k} x_5^{j-k} + b_k x_3 x_4^{i+k-2} x_5^{j-k+1} + c_k x_2 x_4^{i+k-3} x_5^{j-k+2} + d_k x_2 x_3 x_5^{i+j-2} \right).$$

Using the definition of δ and Lemma 6.6 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{k+1}(x_4^i x_5^j) &= a_k(j-k)x_4^{i+k+1}x_5^{j-k-1} + a_k(i+k)x_3x_4^{i+k-1}x_5^{j-k} \\ &\quad + b_k(j-k+1)x_3x_4^{i+k-1}x_5^{j-k} + b_k(2(i+k-2)+1)x_2x_4^{i+k-2}x_5^{j-k+1} \\ &\quad + c_k(i+k-3)x_2x_3x_4^{i+k-4}x_5^{j-k+2} + c_k(j-k+2)x_2x_4^{i+k-2}x_5^{j-k+1} \\ &= a_k(j-k)x_4^{i+k+1}x_5^{j-k-1} + (a_k(i+k) + b_k(j-k+1))x_3x_4^{i+k-1}x_5^{j-k} \\ &\quad + (b_k(2i+2k-3) + c_k(j-k+2))x_2x_4^{i+k-2}x_5^{j-k+1} \\ &\quad + c_k(i+k-3)x_2x_3x_4^{i+k-4}x_5^{j-k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_2x_3x_4 = 0$, it is clear that $d_{k+1} = 0$ unless $i + (k+1) - 5 = 0$ in which case $d_{k+1} = c_k$. The fact that $a_{k+1} = a_k(j-k)$ and $b_{k+1} = a_k(i+k) + b_k(j-k+1)$ follows from the proof of Lemma 6.4. Thus we need only verify c_{k+1} . The coefficient of $x_2x_4^{i+(k+1)-3}x_5^{j-(k+1)+2}$ in the preceding expression is $b_k(2i+2k-3) + c_k(j-k+2)$. Substituting the expressions for b_k and c_k gives

$$\frac{(j!)(2i+2k-3)}{(j-k+1)!} \left(ik + \binom{k}{2} \right) + \frac{(j!)(j-k+2)}{(j-k+2)!} \binom{k}{2} \left(2i^2 + (2k-5)i + \frac{(k-2)(3k-7)}{6} \right).$$

Factoring gives

$$\frac{(j!)}{(j-k+1)!} \left((2i+2k-3) \left(ik + \binom{k}{2} \right) + \binom{k}{2} \left(2i^2 + (2k-5)i + \frac{(k-2)(3k-7)}{6} \right) \right).$$

A MAGMA[3] calculation can be used to verify that, as polynomials in i and k ,

$$\binom{k+1}{2} \left(2i^2 + (2(k+1)-5)i + \frac{((k+1)-2)(3(k+1)-7)}{6} \right)$$

equals

$$(2i+2k-3) \left(ik + \binom{k}{2} \right) + \binom{k}{2} \left(2i^2 + (2k-5)i + \frac{(k-2)(3k-7)}{6} \right).$$

This completes the induction step. \square

Lemma 6.9. (i) For $p-3 \geq d > 4$,

$$\delta^{d+1}(x_5^d) = \frac{d(d+1)!}{12} (6x_3x_4^{d-1} + (d-1)(3d-4)x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5).$$

(ii) For $p-4 \geq d > 3$, $\delta^{d+2}(x_5^d) = \frac{d(3d-1)(d+2)!}{12} x_2x_4^{d-1}.$

Proof. Using Lemma 6.8,

$$\delta^d(x_5^d) \equiv_{(x_2x_3)} d!x_4^d + d! \binom{d}{2} \left(x_3x_4^{d-2}x_5 + \frac{(d-2)(3d-7)}{12} x_2x_4^{d-3}x_5^2 \right).$$

Applying δ and using Lemma 6.6 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta^{d+1}(x_5^d)}{d!} &\equiv_{(x_2x_3)} \left(d + \binom{d}{2} \right) x_3x_4^{d-1} + \binom{d}{2} \left(2d - 3 + \frac{3d^2 - 13d + 14}{6} \right) x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5 \\ &\equiv_{(x_2x_3)} \frac{d(d+1)}{2} x_3x_4^{d-1} + \binom{d}{2} \frac{3d^2 - d - 4}{6} x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5 \\ &\equiv_{(x_2x_3)} \frac{d(d+1)}{12} (6x_3x_4^{d-1} + (d-1)(3d-4)x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\delta^{d+1}(x_5^d) = \frac{d(d+1)(d!)}{12} (6x_3x_4^{d-1} + (d-1)(3d-4)x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5) + cx_2x_3x_5^{d-2}$$

where $c = 0$ unless $d = 4$ in which case $c = (d!)d(d-1)(d-2)(3d-7)/24 = 120$. Again applying δ and using Lemma 6.6 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{d+2}(x_5^d) &\equiv_{(x_2x_3)} \frac{d(d+1)(d!)}{12} (6(2(d-1)+1) + (d-1)(3d-4)) x_2x_4^{d-1} \\ &\equiv_{(x_2x_3)} \frac{d(d+1)(d!)(3d^2+5d-2)}{12} x_2x_4^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\delta^{d+2}(x_5^d) = \frac{d(d+1)(d!)(3d-1)(d+2)}{12} x_2x_4^{d-1} + c'x_2x_3x_4^{d-2}$$

where $c' = 0$ unless $d = 3$ in which case $c' = (d!)d(d-1)(d+1)(3d-4)/12 = 60$. \square

Lemma 6.10. *For $1 < d < p$, $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is generated as an \mathbf{FZ}/p -module by*

$$\{x_5^d, x_3x_5^{d-1}, x_2x_5^{d-1}, x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}\}.$$

Hence $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ decomposes into a sum of at most four indecomposable summands.

Proof. Having fixed a basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}$ consisting of the images of monomials we can use the order on $\mathbf{F}[V_5]$ to give a total order on the basis and a partial order on the coinvariants. Thus it is possible to determine the leading term of a coinvariant. Note, however, that the order is not multiplicative. We will denote the leading term of a coinvariant f by $\text{LT}(f)$. To show that $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is generated by $\Gamma := \{x_5^d, x_3x_5^{d-1}, x_2x_5^{d-1}, x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}\}$ it is sufficient to show that $\{\text{LT}(\Delta^k(\beta)) \mid \beta \in \Gamma\}$ spans $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$. Furthermore, for every $\beta \in \Gamma$, $\text{LT}(\delta^k(\beta)) = \text{LT}(\Delta^k(\beta))$. Observe that $\delta^k(x_2x_5^{d-1}) = x_2\delta^k(x_5^{d-1})$. Thus $\delta^k(x_2x_5^{d-1})$ can be computed for $k \leq d-1$ using Lemma 6.8. Therefore, using Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.6, we see that $\{x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}\} \cup \{\text{LT}(\delta^k(x_5^d)) \mid 0 \leq k \leq d\} \cup \{\text{LT}(\delta^k(x_3x_5^{d-1})), \text{LT}(\delta^k(x_2x_5^{d-1})) \mid 0 \leq k \leq d-1\}$ is a basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$. Hence Γ is a generating set.

To see that the number of generators is an upper bound on the number of indecomposable summands, work inductively. Certainly a module with one generator is indecomposable. Suppose a module has more than one generator. It is convenient to define the length of a generator to be the dimension of the submodule it generates. By looking at the decomposition of the module, it is not hard to see that a generator of maximum length generates a summand. \square

Theorem 6.11. *Suppose $p > 5$.*

- (i) $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^0 \cong \mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^{2p-3} \cong V_1$ and $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^1 \cong V_4$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^{2p-4} \cong V_2 \oplus V_1$ and $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^2 \cong V_6 \oplus V_2$.
- (iii) For $d = p + 2, \dots, 2p - 5$: $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \cong V_{2p-d-2} \oplus V_{2p-d-3} \oplus V_{2p-d-4}$.
- (iv) For $d = p, p + 1$: $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \cong V_{2p-d-2} \oplus V_{2p-d-3} \oplus V_{2p-d-4} \oplus V_1$.
- (v) For $d = p - 1, p - 2$: $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \cong V_{p-1} \oplus V_{p-3} \oplus V_{p-4} \oplus V_1$.
- (vi) For $d = p - 3$ and $p > 11$: $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \cong V_{p-1} \oplus V_{p-3} \oplus V_{p-5} \oplus V_1$.
- (vii) For $d = 5, \dots, p - 4$: if $3d - 1 \not\equiv_{(p)} 0$ and $3d - 2 \not\equiv_{(p)} 0$ then

$$\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \cong V_{d+3} \oplus V_d \oplus V_{d-2} \oplus V_1;$$

if $3d - 1 \not\equiv_{(p)} 0$ and $3d - 2 \equiv_{(p)} 0$ then

$$\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \cong V_{d+3} \oplus 2V_{d-1} \oplus V_1;$$

if $3d - 1 \equiv_{(p)} 0$ then $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d \cong V_{d+2} \oplus V_{d+1} \oplus V_{d-2} \oplus V_1$.

- (viii) For $p > 11$: $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^3 \cong V_6 \oplus V_4 \oplus V_1$ and $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^4 \cong V_7 \oplus V_4 \oplus V_3$.

Remark 6.12. *MAGMA [3] calculations give the following.*

- (i) For $p = 5$, the homogeneous component of $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/5}$ in increasing degree are isomorphic to $V_1, V_4, 2V_4, 2V_4 \oplus 2V_1, 2V_4 \oplus 2V_1, V_3 \oplus V_4 \oplus 2V_1, V_4 \oplus 2V_1, 2V_1$.
- (ii) For $p = 11$: $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/11}^3 \cong V_6 \oplus V_4 \oplus V_1$, $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/11}^4 \cong V_6 \oplus V_5 \oplus V_3$ and $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/11}^8 \cong V_{10} \oplus 2V_7 \oplus V_1$
- (iii) For $p = 7$: $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/7}^3 \cong V_6 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_2$ and $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/7}^4 \cong V_6 \oplus V_4 \oplus V_3$.

Proof. Part (i) is clear.

(ii) For $d = 2p - 4$: $x_4^{p-3}x_5^{p-1}$ generates a submodule of dimension 2 and both $x_4^{p-2}x_5^{p-2}$ and $x_3x_4^{p-4}x_5^{p-1}$ are invariant. For $d = 2$: A straight forward calculation shows that x_5^2 generates a submodule of dimension 6 with socle $\text{Span}(x_2x_3)$. A second calculation shows that $2x_4^2 - 3x_3x_5 - 3x_2x_5 - 2x_2x_4$ generates a submodule of dimension 2 with socle $\text{Span}(x_3x_4 - 3x_2x_5 - 2x_2x_4)$. Since the dimension of the degree 2 homogeneous component is 8, Lemma 6.1 applies to give the stated decomposition.

- (iii) For $p + 2 \leq d \leq 2p - 5$, a basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & x_4^{p-2}x_5^{d-p+2}, x_4^{p-3}x_5^{d-p+3}, \dots, x_4^{d-p+1}x_5^{p-1}, \\ & x_3x_4^{p-4}x_5^{d-p+3}, x_3x_4^{p-5}x_5^{d-p+4}, \dots, x_3x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1}, \\ & x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5^{d-p+4}, x_2x_4^{p-6}x_5^{d-p+5}, \dots, x_2x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the dimension of $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is $(2p - d - 2) + (2p - d - 3) + (2p - d - 4)$. The elements $x_4^{p-2}x_5^{d-p+2}$, $x_3x_4^{p-4}x_5^{d-p+3}$ and $x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5^{d-p+4}$ are invariants of minimum weight. It follows from Lemma 6.8 that $\delta^{2p-d-3}(x_4^{d-p+1}x_5^{p-1})$ is a linear combination of these invariants with the coefficient of $x_4^{p-2}x_5^{d-p+2}$ non-zero. It follows from Lemma 6.6 that $\delta^{2p-d-4}(x_3x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1})$ is a linear combination of $x_3x_4^{p-4}x_5^{d-p+3}$

and $x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5^{d-p+4}$ with the coefficient of $x_3x_4^{p-4}x_5^{d-p+3}$ non-zero. Since x_2 is invariant, $\delta^{2p-d-5}(x_2x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1}) = x_2\delta^{2p-d-5}(x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1})$ which, by Lemma 6.8, is a non-zero scalar multiple of $x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5^{d-p+4}$. Thus we have submodules of dimensions $2p-d-2$, $2p-d-3$ and $2p-d-4$ such that the sum of the socles is direct. By Lemma 6.1, this gives the required decomposition.

(iv) ($d = p, p+1$) As in (iii), the elements $x_4^{d-p+1}x_5^{p-1}$, $x_3x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1}$ and $x_2x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1}$ generate submodules of dimensions $2p-d-2$, $2p-d-3$ and $2p-d-4$, respectively. Furthermore, $\delta^{2p-d-3}(x_4^{d-p+1}x_5^{p-1})$, $\delta^{2p-d-4}(x_3x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1})$ and $\delta^{2p-d-5}(x_2x_4^{d-p}x_5^{p-1})$ are linearly independent elements of $\text{Span}(x_4^{p-2}x_5^{d-p+2}, x_3x_4^{p-4}x_5^{d-p+3}, x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5^{d-p+4})$. The invariant basis element $x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}$ generates a submodule of dimension 1. Thus the sum of the socles of these four submodules is direct and the sum of their dimensions is the dimension of the homogeneous component. Therefore, by Lemma 6.1, we have the required decomposition.

(v) ($d = p-2, p-1$) As in (iii), the elements $x_4^{p-2}x_5^{d-p+2}$, $x_3x_4^{p-4}x_5^{d-p+3}$ and $x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5^{d-p+4}$ are invariants of minimum weight. Using Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.6, the basis elements x_5^d , $x_3x_5^{d-1}$ and $x_2x_5^{d-1}$ generate submodules of dimensions $p-1$, $p-3$ and $p-4$, respectively. Furthermore, $\delta^{p-1}(x_5^d)$, $\delta^{p-3}(x_3x_5^{d-1})$ and $\delta^{p-4}(x_2x_5^{d-1})$ are linearly independent minimum weight invariants. As in (iv), the invariant basis element $x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}$ is a non-minimum weight invariant. Thus, applying Lemma 6.1, we have four submodules whose sum is direct and whose dimensions sum to the dimension of the homogeneous component.

(vi) ($d = p-3, p > 11$) From Lemma 6.10, $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^{p-3}$ is a sum of at most four indecomposable summands. We will identify four linearly independent invariants. Since each summand has a one dimensional socle, this means that there are four summands and we have found a basis for the invariants. In this homogeneous component, the minimum weight is $4p-13$ and the minimum weight subspace is $\text{Span}(x_3x_4^{p-4}, x_2x_4^{p-5})$. This gives two linearly independent invariants. The weight $4p-12$ subspace is $\text{Span}(x_4^{p-3}, x_3x_4^{p-5}, x_2x_4^{p-6}x_5^2)$. (Note that $\text{wt}(x_2x_3x_5^{p-5}) = 5p-20$. Therefore, since $p > 8$, $\text{wt}(x_2x_3x_5^{p-5}) > 4p-12$.) Since δ is a linear map taking the three dimensional weight $4p-12$ subspace to the two dimensional weight $4p-13$ subspace, there exists a non-zero element $f \in \text{Span}(x_4^{p-3}, x_3x_4^{p-5}, x_2x_4^{p-6}x_5^2)$ with $\delta(f) = 0$. Using Lemma 6.3, $\Delta(f) = \delta(f)$. Therefore f is invariant. The fourth invariant is $x_2x_3x_5^{p-3}$.

From Lemma 6.9(i),

$$\delta^{p-2}(x_5^{p-3}) = \frac{(p-3)(p-2)!}{12}(6x_3x_4^{p-4} + (p-4)(3p-13)x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5),$$

while from Lemma 6.6,

$$\delta^{p-4}(x_3x_5^{p-4}) = (p-4)!(x_3x_4^{p-4} + (p-4)^2x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5).$$

A simple calculation shows that for $p > 11$, $6(p-4)^2 \not\equiv_{(p)} (p-4)(3p-13)$. Therefore $\delta^{p-4}(x_3x_5^{p-4})$ and $\delta^{p-2}(x_5^{p-3})$ are linearly independent minimum weight invariants. Using Lemma 6.8, $\delta^{p-5}(x_2x_5^{p-4}) = (p-4)!x_2x_4^{p-5}x_5$. Thus $x_2x_5^{p-4}$ generates a submodule of dimension $p-4$ whose socle is contained in the minimum weight subspace. Since $\delta^{p-4}(x_3x_5^{p-4})$ and $\delta^{p-2}(x_5^{p-3})$ are a basis for the minimum weight subspace, it is possible to choose coefficients c_1 and c_2 so that

$\delta^{p-5}(x_2x_5^{p-4} + c_1\delta(x_3x_5^{p-4}) + c_2\delta^3(x_5^{p-3})) = 0$. We claim that $h := \delta^{p-4}(x_2x_5^{p-4} + c_1\delta(x_3x_5^{p-4}) + c_2\delta^3(x_5^{p-3}))$ is non-zero scalar multiple of f . Clearly h is an invariant of weight $4p - 12$. However, if h is zero, then f is not contained in the submodule generated by $\{x_5^{p-3}, x_3x_5^{p-4}, x_2x_5^{p-4}, x_2x_3x_5^{p-2}\}$ contradicting Lemma 6.10.

In conclusion, x_5^{p-3} , $x_3x_5^{p-4}$, $x_2x_5^{p-4} + c_1\delta(x_3x_5^{p-4}) + c_2\delta^3(x_5^{p-3})$, and $x_2x_3x_5^{p-4}$ generate submodules of dimensions $p-1$, $p-3$, $p-5$ and 1, respectively. The sum of the socles of these modules is direct and the sum of the dimensions matches the dimension of $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^{p-3}$. Therefore, by Lemma 6.1, $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^{p-3} \cong V_{p-1} \oplus V_{p-3} \oplus V_{p-5} \oplus V_1$.

(vii) For $d = 6, \dots, p-4$ a basis for $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & x_4^d, & \dots & x_4^3x_5^{d-3}, & x_4^2x_5^{d-2}, & x_4x_5^{d-1}, & x_5^d, \\ & x_3x_4^{d-1}, & x_3x_4^{d-2}x_5, & \dots & x_3x_4x_5^{d-2}, & x_3x_5^{d-1}, & & \\ x_2x_4^{d-1}, & x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5, & x_2x_4^{d-3}x_5^2, & \dots & x_2x_5^{d-1}, & & & \\ & & & & x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}, & & & \end{array}$$

where elements in the same column have the equal weight. The case $d = 5$ is essentially the same except the element in the fourth row lies in the third column. As in (vi), using Lemma 6.10, $\mathbf{F}[V_5]_{\mathbf{Z}/p}^d$ is the sum of at most four indecomposable summands. We first show that there are four linearly independent invariants and hence four summands. The elements $x_2x_4^{d-1}$, $x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}$, and $x_3x_4^{d-1} - (2d-1)x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5$ are easily seen to be invariant and linearly independent. A fourth invariant can be constructed as a linear combination of x_4^d , $x_3x_4^{d-2}x_5$, $x_2x_4^{d-3}x_5^2$ and $x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5$. To see this, first observe that the weight $4d$ subspace has dimension 3 (4 for $d = 5$) and that the weight $4d-1$ subspace has dimension 2. Therefore there is a non-zero linear combination of x_4^d , $x_3x_4^{d-2}x_5$ and $x_2x_4^{d-3}x_5^2$ in the kernel of δ , say f . By Lemma 6.3, $\delta(f)$ and $\Delta(f)$ agree in weight $4d-1$. Furthermore, the only basis element of lower weight is $x_2x_4^{d-1}$. Thus $\Delta(f)$ is a scalar multiple of $x_2x_4^{d-1}$. Note that $\Delta(x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5)$ is a non-zero scalar multiple of $x_2x_4^{d-1}$. Therefore there exists $a \in \mathbf{F}$ with $\Delta(f - ax_2x_4^{d-2}x_5) = 0$, giving the required invariant. Thus we have four linearly independent invariants and four indecomposable summands.

Suppose $3d-1 \not\equiv_{(p)} 0$. From Lemma 6.9(ii), $\delta^{d+2}(x_5^d) = x_3x_4^{d-1}d(3d-1)(d+2)!/12$. Thus x_5^d generates a submodule of dimension $d+3$ with socle $\text{Span}(x_2x_4^{d-1})$. From Lemma 6.7, $\delta^d(x_3x_5^{d-1}) = d(d!)x_2x_4^{d-1}$. Thus $\delta^d(x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d)) = 0$ with $c = 12/((d+1)(d+2)(3d-1))$. Using Lemma 6.6 and Lemma 6.9(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{d-1}(x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d)) &= \left((d-1)! - \frac{cd(d+1)!}{2} \right) x_3x_4^{d-1} \\ &\quad + \left((d-1)^2(d-1)! - \frac{cd(d-1)(3d-4)(d+1)!}{12} \right) x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for c and simplifying gives

$$\delta^{d-1}(x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d)) = \frac{-(d-1)(3d-2)(d-1)!}{(d+2)(3d-1)} (x_3x_4^{d-1} + (2d-1)x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5).$$

Note that $\delta^{d-1}(x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d))$ and $\Delta^{d-1}(x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d))$ differ by a scalar multiple of the invariant $x_2x_4^{d-1}$. Suppose $3p-2 \not\equiv_{(p)} 0$. Then $x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d)$ generates a module of dimension d . Furthermore, it is possible to choose a linear

combination of $x_2x_5^{d-1}$, $x_3x_4x_5^{d-2}$, and $x_4^3x_5^{d-3}$, say h , so that h generates a submodule of dimension $d - 2$ with socle given by the span of $f + ax_2x_4^{d-2}x_5$. Thus applying Lemma 6.1 gives a decomposition isomorphic to $V_{d+3} \oplus V_d \oplus V_{d-2} \oplus V_1$. On the other hand, suppose $3p - 2 \equiv_{(p)} 0$. Then $\Delta^{d-1}(x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d))$ is a multiple of $x_2x_4^{d-1}$ and, for some $c' \in \mathbf{F}$, $x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d) + c'x_4^3x_5^{d-3}$ generates a module of dimension at most $d - 1$. Furthermore, it is possible to choose a linear combination of $x_2x_5^{d-1}$, $x_3x_4x_5^{d-2}$, and $x_4^3x_5^{d-3}$, say h' , so that h' generates a submodule of dimension $d - 1$ with socle given by the span of $x_3x_4^{d-1} + (2d - 1)x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5$. Since $\{x_5^d, x_3x_5^{d-1}, x_2x_5^{d-1}, x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}\}$ is generating set for the homogeneous component, the module generated by $x_3x_5^{d-1} - c\delta^2(x_5^d) + c'x_4^3x_5^{d-3}$ has dimension $d - 1$ and its socle does not lie in $\text{Span}(x_2x_4^{d-1}, x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}, x_3x_4^{d-1} + (2d - 1)x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5)$. Thus, using Lemma 6.1, we have a decomposition isomorphic to $V_{d+3} \oplus 2V_{d-1} \oplus V_1$.

Suppose $3d - 1 \equiv_{(p)} 0$. By Lemma 6.9, x_5^d generates a module of dimension $d + 2$ with socle $\text{Span}(3x_3x_4^{d-1} + x_2x_4^{d-2}x_5 + cx_2x_4^{d-1})$ for some $c \in \mathbf{F}$. By Lemma 6.7 $x_3x_5^{d-1}$ generates a module of dimension $d + 1$ with socle $\text{Span}(x_2x_4^{d-1})$. Clearly $x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}$ generates a module of dimension 1. Since $\{x_5^d, x_3x_5^{d-1}, x_2x_5^{d-1}, x_2x_3x_5^{d-2}\}$ generates the homogeneous component, a suitable linear combination of $x_2x_5^{d-1}$, $x_3x_4x_5^{d-2}$, and $x_4^3x_5^{d-3}$ generates a module of dimension $d - 2$ with socle determined by $f - ax_2x_4^{d-2}x_5$. Applying Lemma 6.1 gives a decomposition isomorphic to $V_{d+2} \oplus V_{d+1} \oplus V_{d-2} \oplus V_1$.

(viii) For $d = 3$: The dimension of the homogeneous component is 11. A direct calculation of $\delta^5(x_5^3)$ shows that x_5^3 generates a module of dimension 6 with with socle $\text{Span}(4x_2x_4^2 + x_2x_3x_5)$. A direct calculation of $\delta^3(x_3x_5^2)$ shows that $x_3x_5^2$ generates a submodule of dimension 4 with socle $\text{Span}(9x_2x_4^2 + 4x_2x_3x_5)$. The linear map Δ takes the span of the elements of weight less than 13, a subspace of dimension 7, to the the span of the elements of weight less than 12, a subspace of dimension 4. Thus the kernel of Δ has dimension at least 3. Applying Lemma 6.1 gives the required decomposition

For $d = 4$: It is clear that $x_2x_4^3$, $x_3x_4^3 - 7x_2x_4^2x_5$ and $x_2x_3x_5^2$ are invariant. Note that, for $p > 7$, the dimension of the homogeneous component is 14. From Lemma 6.9, $\delta^6(x_5^4) = 2640x_2x_4^3$. Thus, for $p > 11$, x_5^4 generates a module of dimension 7 with socle $\text{Span}(x_2x_4^3)$. From Lemma 6.7, $\delta^4(x_3x_5^3) = 96x_2x_4^3$. Define $g_1 := 55x_3x_5^3 - 2\delta^2(x_5^4)$. Using Lemma 6.9(i) and Lemma 6.6, $\delta^3(g_1) = -150(x_3x_4^3 - 7x_2x_4^2x_5) + 420x_2x_3x_5^2$. Thus g_1 generates a module of dimension 4. Using Lemma 6.8, $\delta^3(x_2x_5^3) = 6x_2x_4^3$. Define $g_2 := 440x_2x_5^3 - \delta^3(x_5^4)$. From Lemma 6.8, $\delta^2(g_2) = -240(x_3x_4^3 - 7x_2x_4^2x_5) + 1200x_2x_3x_5^2$. Thus g_2 generates a module of dimension 3. For $p > 11$, $\delta^3(g_2)$ and $\delta^4(g_1)$ are linearly independent. Thus $\Delta^3(g_2)$ and $\Delta^4(g_1)$ are linearly independent and applying Lemma 6.1 gives the required decomposition. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] W.W. Adams, P. Lounstau, *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*, Graduate Studies in Math. **3**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994.
- [2] D. Benson, *Polynomial Invariants of Finite Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.
- [3] W. Bosma, J.J. Cannon, C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system I: the user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997) 235–265.

- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6*, Masson, 1981.
- [5] H.E.A. Campbell, B. Fodden, D.L. Wehlau, *Invariants of the diagonal C_p -action on V_3* , preprint.
- [6] H.E.A. Campbell, I.P. Hughes, *Vector Invariants of $U_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$: a proof of a conjecture of Richman*, Adv. Math. **126** (1997), 1–20.
- [7] H.E.A. Campbell, I.P. Hughes, R.J. Shank, D.L. Wehlau, *Bases for rings of coinvariants*, Transformation Groups **1** (4) (1996), 307–336.
- [8] C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 778–782.
- [9] H. Derksen, G. Kemper, *Computational Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [10] L.E. Dickson, *On invariants and the theory of numbers*, The Madison Colloquium (1913) Amer. Math. Soc., reprinted by Dover, 1966.
- [11] I.G. Gordan, *On the quotient ring by diagonal invariants*, Invent. Math. **153** (2003), 503–518.
- [12] J. Haglund, M. Haiman, N. Loehr, J. B. Remmel, A. Ulyanov, *A Combinatorial Formula for the Character of the Diagonal Coinvariants*, ArXiv:math.CO/0310424.
- [13] M.D. Haiman, *Conjectures on the quotient ring by diagonal invariants*, J. Algebraic Combin. **3** (1994) no. 1, 17–76.
- [14] I.P. Hughes, G. Kemper, *Symmetric Powers of Modular Representations, Hilbert Series and Degree Bounds*, Comm. Algebra **28** (2000) no. 4, 2059–20088.
- [15] R. Kane, *Reflection Groups and Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [16] M.D. Neusel, L. Smith *Invariant Theory of Finite Groups*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **94**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002.
- [17] D. Richman, *On vector invariants over finite fields*, Adv. in Math. **81** (1990) 30–65.
- [18] L. Robbiano and M. Sweedler, *Subalgebra bases*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1430**, pp. 61–87, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [19] R.J. Shank, *S.A.G.B.I bases for rings of formal modular seminvariants*, Comm. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), 548–565.
- [20] R.J. Shank, D.L. Wehlau, *Noether numbers for subrepresentations of cyclic groups of prime order*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **34** (2002), 438–450.
- [21] R.J. Shank, D.L. Wehlau, *Computing modular invariants of p -groups*, J. Symbolic Comput. **34** (2002) no. 5, 307–327.
- [22] L. Smith, *Polynomial Invariants of Finite Groups*, A.K. Peters Ltd., (1995).
- [23] L. Smith, *On a theorem of R. Steinberg on rings of coinvariants*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 1043–1048.
- [24] L. Smith, *A modular analog of a theorem of R. Steinberg on coinvariants of complex pseudoreflection groups*, Glasg. Math. J. **45** (2003) no.1, 69–71.
- [25] L. Smith, *Invariants and coinvariants of finite pseudoreflection groups, Jacobian determinants and Steenrod operation*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. Ser. **44**(2001) no.3, 597–611.
- [26] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, Univ. Lect. Series **8**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS & ACTUARIAL SCIENCE,
UNIVERSITY OF KENT AT CANTERBURY, CT2 7NF, UK

E-mail address: M.Sezer@kent.ac.uk

E-mail address: R.J.Shank@kent.ac.uk